NEUTRAL STOCHASTIC FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS WITH VARYING-TIME DELAYS DRIVEN BY ROSENBLATT PROCESS IN HILBERT SPACES
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Abstract. In this paper we consider a class of time-dependent neutral stochastic functional differential equations with finite delay driven by Rosenblatt process in a real separable Hilbert space. We prove an existence and uniqueness result of mild solution by means of the Banach fixed point principle. A practical example is provided to illustrate the viability of the abstract result of this work.

1. Introduction

For the practical applications in the areas such as biology, medicine, physics, finance, electrical engineering, telecommunication networks, and so on, the theory of stochastic evolution equations has attracted research’s great interest. For more details, one can see Da Prato and Zabczyk [4], and Ren and Sun [14] and the references therein. In many areas of science, there has been an increasing interest in the investigation of the systems incorporating memory or aftereffect, i.e., there is the effect of delay on state equations. Therefore, there is a real need to discuss stochastic evolution systems with delay. In many mathematical models the claims often display long-range memories, possibly due to extreme weather, natural disasters, in some cases, many stochastic dynamical systems depend not only on present and past states, but also contain the derivatives with delays. Neutral functional differential equations are often used to describe such systems.

Recently, stochastic functional differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion have attracted the interest of many researchers. One can see [3, 5, 6, 7] and the references therein. The literature concerning the existence and qualitative properties of solutions of time-dependent functional stochastic differential equations is very restricted and limited to a very few articles. This fact is the main motivation of our work. We mention here the recent paper by Ren et al. [15] concerning the existence of mild solutions for a class of stochastic evolution equations driven by fractional Brownian motion in Hilbert space.
On the other hand, the very large utilization of the fractional Brownian motion in practice are due to its self-similarity, stationarity of increments and long-range dependence; one prefers in general fBm before other processes because it is Gaussian and the calculus for it is easier; but in concrete situations when the gaussianity is not plausible for the model, one can use for example the Rosenblatt process. Although defined during the 60s and 70s [16, 19] due to their appearance in the Non-Central Limit Theorem, the systematic analysis of Rosenblatt processes has only been developed during the last ten years, motivated by their nice properties (self-similarity, stationarity of the increments, long-range dependence). Since they are non-Gaussian and self-similar with stationary increments, the Rosenblatt processes can also be an input in models where self-similarity is observed in empirical data which appears to be non-Gaussian. There exists a consistent literature that focuses on different theoretical aspects of the Rosenblatt processes. Let us recall some of these works. For example, the rate of convergence to the Rosenblatt process in the Non Central Limit Theorem has been given by Leonenko and Ahn [9]. Tudor [20] studied the analysis of the Rosenblatt process. The distribution of the Rosenblatt process has been given in [10]. An existence and uniqueness result of mild solutions for a class of neutral stochastic differential equation with finite delay driven by Rosenblatt process in Hilbert space has been recently established in Ren and Shen [17].

Motivated by the above works, this paper is concerned with the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions for a class of time-dependent neutral functional stochastic differential equations driven by non-Gaussian noises, described in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) + g(t, x(t - r(t)))] = [A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t - \rho(t)))]dt + \sigma(t)dZ_H(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \\
x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad -\tau \leq t \leq 0,
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

in a real Hilbert space \(X\) with inner product \(<.,.>\) and norm \(\|\cdot\|\), where \(\{A(t), \ t \in [0, T]\}\) is a family of linear closed operators from a space \(X\) into \(X\) that generates an evolution system of operators \(\{U(t, s), \ 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}\). \(Z_H\) is a Rosenblatt process on a real and separable Hilbert space \(Y\), \(r, \rho : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \tau] \ (\tau > 0)\) are continuous and \(f, g : [0, +\infty) \times X \rightarrow X, \ \sigma : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^Q(Y, X)\), are appropriate functions. Here \(\mathcal{L}_2^Q(Y, X)\) denotes the space of all \(Q\)-Hilbert-Schmidt operators from \(Y\) into \(X\) (see section 2 below).

On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, there is no paper which investigates the study of time-dependent neutral stochastic functional differential equations with delays driven by Rosenblatt process. Thus, we will make the first attempt to study such problem in this paper.

We organize our paper as follows. Section 2, recapitulate some notations, basic concepts, and basic results about Rosenblatt process, Wiener integral with respect to it over Hilbert spaces and we recall some preliminary results about evolution operator. We need to prove a new technical lemma for the \(L_2\)–estimate of stochastic convolution integral. Section 3, gives sufficient conditions to prove the existence and uniqueness for the problem (1.1). In Section 4 we give an example to illustrate the efficiency of the obtained result.
2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic results about evolution family, and we introduce the Rosenblatt process as well as the Wiener integral with respect to it. We also establish some important results which will be needed throughout the paper.

2.1. Evolution families. In this subsection we introduce the notion of evolution family.

Definition 2.1. A set \( \{U(t,s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\} \) of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \( X \) is called an evolution family if

(a) \( U(t,s)U(s,r) = U(t,r), \) \( U(s,s) = I \) if \( r \leq s \leq t, \)
(b) \( (t,s) \to U(t,s)x \) is strongly continuous for \( t > s. \)

Let \( \{A(t), t \in [0,T]\} \) be a family of closed densely defined linear unbounded operators on the Hilbert space \( X \) and with domain \( D(A(t)) \) independent of \( t, \) satisfying the following conditions introduced by [1].

There exist constants \( \lambda_0 \geq 0, \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi), \) \( L, \) \( K \geq 0, \) and \( \mu, \nu \in (0,1] \) with \( \mu + \nu > 1 \) such that

\[
\Sigma_\theta \cup \{0\} \subset \rho(A(t) - \lambda_0), \quad \|R(\lambda, A(t) - \lambda_0)\| \leq \frac{K}{1 + |\lambda|}, \quad (2.1)
\]

and

\[
\|(A(t) - \lambda_0)R(\lambda, A(t) - \lambda_0)[R(\lambda_0, A(t)) - R(\lambda_0, A(s))]\| \leq L|t - s|^\mu|\lambda|^{-\nu}, \quad (2.2)
\]

for \( t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \Sigma_\theta \) where \( \Sigma_\theta := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\} : |\arg \lambda| \leq \theta \}. \)

It is well known, that this assumption implies that there exists a unique evolution family \( \{U(t,s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\} \) on \( X \) such that \( (t,s) \to U(t,s) \in \mathcal{L}(X) \) is continuous for \( t > s, \) \( U(\cdot, s) \in C^1((s, \infty), \mathcal{L}(X)), \partial_t U(t,s) = A(t)U(t,s), \) and

\[
\|A(t)^kU(t,s)\| \leq C(t-s)^{-k} \quad (2.3)
\]

for \( 0 < t - s \leq 1, \) \( k = 0, 1, 0 \leq \alpha < \mu, x \in D((\lambda_0 - A(s))^\alpha), \) and a constant \( C \) depending only on the constants in \((2.1)-(2.2).\) Moreover, \( \partial_t^+ U(t,s)x = -U(t,s)A(s)x \) for \( t > s \) and \( x \in D(A(s)) \) with \( A(s)x \in D(A(s)). \) We say that \( A(\cdot) \) generates \( \{U(t,s) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}. \) Note that \( U(t,s) \) is exponentially bounded by \((2.3)\) with \( k = 0.\)

Remark 2.2. If \( \{A(t), t \in [0,T]\} \) is a second order differential operator \( A, \) that is \( A(t) = A \) for each \( t \in [0,T], \) then \( A \) generates a \( C_0 \)-semigroup \( \{e^{At}, t \in [0,T]\}. \)

For additional details on evolution system and their properties, we refer the reader to [12].
2.2. Rosenblatt process. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space. Consider a time interval $[0, T]$ with arbitrary fixed horizon $T$ and let $\{Z_H(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ the one-dimensional Rosenblatt process with parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$. By Tudor [20], it is well known that $Z_H$ has the following integral representation:

$$Z_H(t) = d(H) \int_0^t \int_0^t \left[ \int_{y_1 \vee y_2}^t \frac{\partial K''(u, y_1) \partial K''(u, y_2)}{\partial u} du \right] dB(y_1) dB(y_2),$$

(2.4)

where $B = \{B(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is a Wiener process, $H' = \frac{H+1}{2}$ and $K^H(t, s)$ is the kernel given by

$$K^H(t, s) = c_H s^{\frac{1}{2} - H} \int_s^t (u - s)^{H - \frac{3}{2}} u^{H - \frac{1}{2}} du,$$

for $t > s$, where $c_H = \sqrt{\frac{H(2H - 1)}{\beta(2 - 2H, H - \frac{1}{2})}}$ and $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Beta function. We put $K^H(t, s) = 0$ if $t \leq s$ and $d(H) = \frac{1}{H + 1} \sqrt{\frac{H}{2(2H - 1)}}$ is a normalizing constant.

The covariance of the Rosenblatt process $\{Z_H(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ satisfies, for every $s, t \geq 0$,

$$R_H(s, t) := \mathbb{E}(Z_H(t)Z_H(s)) = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two real, separable Hilbert spaces and let $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ be the space of bounded linear operator from $Y$ to $X$. For the sake of convenience, we shall use the same notation to denote the norms in $X, Y$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y)$ be an operator defined by $Qe_n = \lambda_n e_n$ with finite trace $trQ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$. where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ $(n = 1, 2\ldots)$ are non-negative real numbers and $\{e_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2\ldots)$ is a complete orthonormal basis in $Y$. We define the infinite dimensional $Q-$Rosenblatt process on $Y$ as

$$Z_H(t) = Z_Q(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_n} e_n z_n(t),$$

(2.5)

where $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a family of real independent Rosenblatt process.

Note that the series (2.5) is convergent in $L^2(\Omega)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$, since

$$\mathbb{E}|Z_Q(t)|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mathbb{E}(z_n(t))^2 = t^{2H} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty.$$  

Note also that $Z_Q$ has covariance function in the sense that

$$E\langle Z_Q(t), x \rangle \langle Z_Q(s), y \rangle = R(s, t) \langle Q(x), y \rangle \text{ for all } x, y \in Y \text{ and } t, s \in [0, T].$$

In order to define Wiener integrals with respect to the $Q$-Rosenblatt process, we introduce the space $\mathcal{L}_2^0 := \mathcal{L}_2^0(Y, X)$ of all $Q$-Hilbert-Schmidt operators $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$. We recall that $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ is called a $Q$-Hilbert-Schmidt operator, if

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^0}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\sqrt{\lambda_n} e_n\|^2 < \infty,$$
and that the space $L^0_2$ equipped with the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^0_2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi e_n, \psi e_n \rangle$ is a separable Hilbert space.

Now, let $\phi(s)$, $s \in [0, T]$ be a function with values in $L^0_2(Y, X)$, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \| K^* \phi Q^n e_n \|^2_{L^0_2} < \infty.$$  

The Wiener integral of $\phi$ with respect to $Z_Q$ is defined by

$$\int_0^t \phi(s) dZ_Q(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_n} \phi(s) e_n dZ(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \sqrt{\lambda_n} K^*_H (\phi e_n)(y_1, y_2) dB(y_1) dB(y_2).$$  

(2.6)

Now, we end this subsection by stating the following fundamental inequality which was proved in [8].

**Lemma 2.3.** If $\psi : [0, T] \rightarrow L^0_2(Y, X)$ satisfies $\int_0^T \| \psi(s) \|^2_{L^0_2} ds < \infty$ then the above sum in (2.6) is well defined as a $X$-valued random variable and we have

$$\mathbb{E} \| \int_0^t \psi(s) dZ_H(s) \|^2 \leq 2Ht^{2H-1} \int_0^t \| \psi(s) \|^2_{L^0_2} ds.$$  

2.3. **Definition and assumption.** Henceforth we will assume that the family $\{A(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ of linear operators generates an evolution system of operators $\{U(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$.

**Definition 2.4.** An $X$-valued stochastic process $\{x(t), t \in [-\tau, T]\}$, is called a mild solution of equation (1.1) if

- $i)$ $x(.) \in C([-\tau, T], L^2(\Omega, X))$,
- $ii)$ $x(t) = \varphi(t), -\tau \leq t \leq 0$.
- $iii)$ For arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$, $x(t)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$x(t) = U(t, 0)(\varphi(0) + g(0, \varphi(-r(0)))) - g(t, x(t - r(t)))$$

$$- \int_0^t U(t, s) \sigma(s) g(s, x(s - r(s))) ds + \int_0^t U(t, s) f(s, x(s - \rho(s))) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t U(t, s) \sigma(s) dZ_Q(s) \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s$$

We introduce the following assumptions:

(H.1) $i)$ The evolution family is exponentially stable, that is, there exist two constants $\beta > 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\| U(t, s) \| \leq M e^{-\beta(t-s)}, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq s,$$

$ii)$ There exist a constant $M_* > 0$ such that

$$\| A^{-1}(t) \| \leq M_* \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [0, T].$$

(H.2) The maps $f, g : [0, T] \times X \rightarrow X$ are continuous functions and there exist two positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$, such that for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $x, y \in X$:

- $i)$ $\| f(t, x) - f(t, y) \| \vee \| g(t, x) - g(t, y) \| \leq C_1 \| x - y \|$.  


ii) \( \|f(t, x)\|^2 \leq C_2(1 + \|x\|^2), \quad k = 0, 1. \)

(H.3) i) There exists a constant \( 0 < L_* < \frac{1}{H} \) such that
\[
\|A(t)g(t, x) - A(t)g(t, y)\| \leq L_*\|x - y\|
\]
for all \( t \in [0, T] \) and \( x, y \in X \).

ii) The function \( g \) is continuous in the quadratic mean sense: for all \( x(.) \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega, X)) \), we have
\[
\lim_{t \to s} \mathbb{E}\|g(t, x(t)) - g(s, x(s))\|^2 = 0.
\]

(H.4) i) The map \( \sigma : [0, T] \to L^0_2(Y, X) \) is bounded, that is: there exists a positive constant \( L \) such that \( \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^0_2(Y, X)} \leq L \) uniformly in \( t \in [0, T] \).

ii) Moreover, we assume that the initial data \( \varphi = \{\varphi(t) : -\tau \leq t \leq 0\} \) satisfies \( \varphi \in C([-\tau, 0], L^2(\Omega, X)) \).

3. Existence and Uniqueness of Mild Solutions

In this section we study the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of equation (1.1). First, it is of great importance to establish the basic properties of the stochastic convolution integral of the form
\[
X(t) = \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)d\mathbb{Q}(s), \quad t \in [0, T],
\]
where \( \sigma(s) \in L^0_2(Y, X) \) and \( \{U(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\} \) is an evolution system of operators.

The properties of the process \( X \) are crucial when regularity of the mild solution to stochastic evolution equation is studied, see [4] for a systematic account of the theory of mild solutions to infinite-dimensional stochastic equations. Unfortunately, the process \( X \) is not a martingale, and standard tools of the martingale theory, yielding e.g. continuity of the trajectories or \( L^2 \)-estimates are not available. The following result on the stochastic convolution integral \( X \) holds.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that \( \sigma : [0, T] \to L^0_2(Y, X) \) satisfies \( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^0_2} < \infty \), and suppose that \( \{U(t, s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\} \) is an evolution system of operators satisfying \( \|U(t, s)\| \leq Me^{-\beta(t-s)} \), for some constants \( \beta > 0 \) and \( M \geq 1 \) for all \( t \geq s \). Then, we have

1. The stochastic integral \( X : t \to \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)d\mathbb{Q}(s) \) is well-defined and we have
\[
\mathbb{E}\|\int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)d\mathbb{Q}(s)\|^2 \leq C_H M^2 t^{2H} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\sigma(t)\|_{L^0_2} \right)^2.
\]

2. The stochastic integral \( X : t \to \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)d\mathbb{Q}(s) \) is continuous.
Proof. 1. Let \( \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be the complete orthonormal basis of \( Y \) and \( \{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a sequence of independent, real-valued Rosenblatt process each with the same parameter \( H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \). Thus, using isometry property one can write

\[
\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s) \|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \| \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)e_n dz_n(s) \|^2 \\
= H(2H - 1) \int_0^t \{ \| U(t, s)\sigma(s) \| \\
\times \| U(t, r)\sigma(r) \| \| s - r \|^{2H-2}dr \} ds \\
\leq H(2H - 1)M^2 \int_0^t \{ e^{-\beta(t-s)} \| \sigma(s) \|_{L^2} \\\n\times \| e^{-\beta(t-r)} \| s - r \|^{2H-2} \| \sigma(r) \|_{L^2} \| dr \} ds.
\]

Since \( \sigma \) is bounded, one can then conclude that

\[
\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_H(s) \|^2 \leq H(2H - 1)M^2 \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \sigma(t) \|_{L^2} \right)^2 \int_0^t \{ e^{-\beta(t-s)} \\\n\times \int_0^t e^{-\beta(t-r)} \| s - r \|^{2H-2}dr \} ds.
\]

Make the following change of variables, \( v = t - s \) for the first integral and \( u = t - r \) for the second. One can write

\[
\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_H(s) \|^2 \leq H(2H - 1)M^2 \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \sigma(t) \|_{L^2} \right)^2 \int_0^t \{ e^{-\beta v} \\\n\times \int_0^t e^{-\beta u} |u - v|^{2H-2}du \} dv \\
\leq H(2H - 1)M^2 \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \sigma(t) \|_{L^2} \right)^2 \int_0^t \int_0^t |u - v|^{2H-2}dudv.
\]

By using the equality,

\[
R_H(t, s) = H(2H - 2) \int_0^t \int_0^s |u - v|^{2H-2}dudv,
\]

we get that

\[
\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s) \|^2 \leq C_H M^2 t^{2H} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \sigma(t) \|_{L^2} \right)^2.
\]

2. Let \( h > 0 \) small enough, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^{t+h} U(t + h, s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s) - \int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s) \|^2
\]
\[\leq 2\|\int_0^t (U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))\sigma(s) dZ_Q(s)\|^2 + 2\|\int_t^{t+h} U(t+h,s)\sigma(s) dZ_H(s)\|^2 \leq 2E\|I_1(h)\|^2 + 2E\|I_2(h)\|^2.\]

By Lemma 2.3, we get that
\[E\|I_1(h)\|^2 \leq 2H^2h^{-1}\int_0^t \|U(t+h,s) - U(t,s)\|_0^2 ds.\]

Since
\[\lim_{h \to 0} \|U(t+h,s) - U(t,s)\|_0^2 = 0,\]
and
\[\|U(t+h,s) - U(t,s)\|_0^2 \leq ME^{-\beta(t-s)}e^{-\beta h + 1} \in L^1([0,T], ds),\]
we conclude, by the dominated convergence theorem that,
\[\lim_{h \to 0} E\|I_1(h)\|^2 = 0.\]

Again by Lemma 2.3, we get that
\[E\|I_2(h)\|^2 \leq \frac{2H^2h^{-1}LM^2(1 - e^{-2\beta h})}{2\beta}.\]
Thus,
\[\lim_{h \to 0} E\|I_2(h)\|^2 = 0.\]
\[\square\]

Remark 3.2. Thanks to Lemma 3.1, the stochastic integral \(X(t)\) is well-defined and it belongs to the space \(C([-\tau,0], L^2(\Omega, X))\).

We have the following theorem on the existence and uniqueness of mild solutions of equation (1.1).

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that (H.1)-(H.4) hold. Then, for all \(T > 0\), the equation (1.1) has a unique mild solution on \([-\tau,T]\).

**Proof.** Fix \(T > 0\) and let \(B_T := C([-\tau,T], L^2(\Omega, X))\) be the Banach space of all continuous functions from \([-\tau,T]\) into \(L^2(\Omega, X)\), equipped with the supremum norm
\[\|x\|_{B_T}^2 = \sup_{-\tau \leq t \leq T} E\|x(t, \omega)\|^2.\]

Let us consider the set
\[S_T(\varphi) = \{x \in B_T : x(s) = \varphi(s), \text{ for } s \in [-\tau,0]\}.\]

\(S_T(\varphi)\) is a closed subset of \(B_T\) provided with the norm \(\|\|_{B_T}\).

We transform (1.1) into a fixed-point problem. Consider the operator \(\psi\) on \(S_T(\varphi)\) defined by \(\psi(x)(t) = \varphi(t)\) for \(t \in [-\tau,0]\) and for \(t \in [0,T]\)
\[\psi(x)(t) = U(t,0)(\varphi(0) + g(0, \varphi(-r(0)))) - g(t,x(t-r(t)))\]
- $\int_0^t U(t, s)A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s)))ds + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s-r(s)))ds$

+ $\int_0^t U(t, s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s)$

= $\sum_{i=1}^5 I_i(t)$.  

Clearly, the fixed points of the operator $\psi$ are mild solutions of (1.1). The fact that $\psi$ has a fixed point will be proved in several steps. We will first prove that the function $\psi$ is well defined.

**Step 1:** For arbitrary $x \in S_T(\varphi)$, we are going to show that each function $t \to I_i(t)$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ in the $L^2(\Omega, X)$-sense.

For the first term $I_1(h)$, by Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{h \to 0} (U(t + h, 0) - U(t, 0))(\varphi(0) + g(0, \varphi(-r(0)))) = 0.$$  

From (H.1), we have

$$\| (U(t+h, 0) - U(t, 0))(\varphi(0) + g(0, \varphi(-r(0)))) \| \leq M \beta t (e^{-\beta h} + 1) \| \varphi(0) + g(0, \varphi(-r(0))) \| \in L^2(\Omega).$$  

Then we conclude by the Lebesgue dominated theorem that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E} \| I_1(t + h) - I_1(t) \|^2 = 0.$$  

For the second term $I_2(h)$, assumption (H.2) ensures that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E} \| I_2(t + h) - I_2(t) \|^2 = 0.$$  

To show that the third term $I_3(h)$ is continuous, we suppose $h > 0$ (similar calculus for $h < 0$). We have

$$\| I_3(t + h) - I_3(t) \| \leq \left\| \int_0^t (U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s)))ds \right\|$$

$$+ \left\| \int_t^{t+h} U(t, s)g(s, x(s-r(s)))ds \right\|$$

$$\leq I_{31}(h) + I_{32}(h).$$  

By Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \| I_{31}(h) \| \leq t \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \| (U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s))) \|^2 ds \right).$$  

By Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{h \to 0} (U(t + h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s))) = 0.$$  

From (H.1) and (H.2), we have

$$\| (U(t+h, s) - U(t, s))A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s))) \| \leq C_2 M e^{-\beta(s-t)} (e^{-\beta h} + 1) \| A(s)g(s, x(s-r(s))) \| \in L^2(\Omega).$$
Then we conclude by the Lebesgue dominated theorem that
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|I_{31}(h)\|^2 = 0. \]
So, estimating as before. By using (H.1) and (H.2), we get
\[ \mathbb{E}\|I_{32}(h)\|^2 \leq \frac{M^2C_2(1-e^{-2\beta h})}{2\beta} \int_t^{t+h} (1 + \mathbb{E}\|x(s-r(s))\|^2)ds. \]
Thus,
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|I_{32}(h)\|^2 = 0. \]
For the fourth term \( I_4(h) \), we suppose \( h > 0 \) (similar calculus for \( h < 0 \)). We have
\[
\|I_4(t+h) - I_4(t)\| \leq \left\| \int_0^t (U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))f(s,x(s-\rho(s)))ds \right\| \\
+ \left\| \int_t^{t+h} U(t,s)f(s,x(s-\rho(s)))ds \right\| \\
\leq \|I_{41}(h)\| + \|I_{42}(h)\|.
\]
By Hölder’s inequality, we have
\[ \mathbb{E}\|I_{41}(h)\| \leq t\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|(U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))f(s,x(s-\rho(s)))\|^2ds. \]
Again exploiting properties of Definition 2.1, we obtain
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} (U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))f(s,x(s-\rho(s))) = 0, \]
and
\[ \|(U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))f(s,x(s-\rho(s)))\| \leq Me^{-\beta(t-s)}(e^{-\beta h}+1)\|f(s,x(s-\rho(s)))\| \in L^2(\Omega). \]
Then we conclude by the Lebesgue dominated theorem that
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|I_{41}(h)\|^2 = 0. \]
On the other hand, by (H.1), (H.2), and the Hölder’s inequality, we have
\[ \mathbb{E}\|I_{42}(h)\| \leq \frac{M^2C_2(1-e^{-2\beta h})}{2\beta} \int_t^{t+h} (1 + \mathbb{E}\|x(s-\rho(s))\|^2)ds. \]
Thus
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|I_{42}(h)\|^2 = 0. \]
Now, for the term \( I_5(h) \), we have
\[
\mathbb{E}\|I_5(t+h) - I_5(t)\|^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t (U(t+h,s) - U(t,s))\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s)\|^2 \\
+ 2\mathbb{E}\| \int_t^{t+h} U(t+h,s)\sigma(s)dZ_Q(s)\|^2.
\]
By Lemma 3.1 we get
\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|I_5(t+h) - I_5(t)\|^2 = 0. \]
where

\[ \nu := L_sM_s < 1, \]

we obtain for any fixed \( t \in [0, T] \)

\[ \| \psi(x)(t) - \psi(y)(t) \|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \| g(t, x(t-r(t))) - g(t, y(t-r(t))) \|^2 \]

where \( \nu := L_sM_s < 1, \) we obtain by using the inequality

\[ (a + b + c)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu}a^2 + \frac{2}{1-\nu}b^2 + \frac{2}{1-\nu}c^2, \]

the above arguments show that \( \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbb{E}\|\psi(x)(t+h) - \psi(x)(t)\|^2 = 0. \) Hence, we conclude that the function \( t \to \psi(x)(t) \) is continuous on \([0, T]\) in the \( L^2\)-sense.

**Step 2:** Now, we are going to show that \( \psi \) is a contraction mapping in \( S_{T_1}(\varphi) \) with some \( T_1 \leq T \) to be specified later. Let \( x, y \in S_T(\varphi) \), by using the inequality

\[ \nu \leq \nu b + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} J_k(t). \]

By using the fact that the operator \( \|(A^{-1}(t))\| \) is bounded, combined with the condition (H.3), we obtain that

\[ \mathbb{E}\|J_1(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \| A^{-1}(t) \|^2 \mathbb{E}\| A(t)g(t, x(t-r(t))) - A(t)g(t, y(t-r(t))) \|^2 \]

\[ \leq \frac{L_s^2 M_s^2}{\nu} \mathbb{E}\| x(t-r(t)) - y(t-r(t)) \|^2 \]

\[ \leq \nu \sup_{s \in [-\tau, t]} \mathbb{E}\| x(s) - y(s) \|^2. \]

By hypothesis (H.3) combined with Hölder’s inequality, we get that

\[ \mathbb{E}\|J_2(t)\| \leq \mathbb{E}\| \int_0^t U(t, s) [A(t)g(t, x(t-r(t))) - A(t)g(t, y(t-r(t)))] \, ds \|
\]

\[ \leq \frac{2}{1-\nu} \int_0^t M^2 e^{-2\beta(t-s)} \, ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\| x(s-r(s)) - y(s-r(s)) \|^2 \, ds
\]

\[ \leq \frac{2M^2 L_s^2}{1-\nu} \frac{1-e^{-2\beta t}}{2\beta} \sup_{s \in [-\tau, t]} \mathbb{E}\| x(s) - y(s) \|^2. \]

Moreover, by hypothesis (H.2) combined with Hölder’s inequality, we can conclude that

\[ E\|J_3(t)\| \leq E\| \int_0^t U(t, s) [f(s, x(s-r(s))) - f(s, y(s-r(s)))] \, ds \|^2
\]

\[ \leq \frac{2C_1^2}{1-\nu} \int_0^t M^2 e^{-2\beta(t-s)} \, ds \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\| x(s-r(s)) - y(s-r(s)) \|^2 \, ds
\[ \left. \frac{2M^2C_1^2}{1 - \nu} \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta t}}{2\beta t} \right. \sup_{s \in [-\tau, t]} \mathbb{E}\|x(s) - y(s)\|^2. \]

Hence
\[ \sup_{s \in [-\tau, t]} \mathbb{E}\|\psi(x)(s) - \psi(y)(s)\|^2 \leq \gamma(t) \sup_{s \in [-\tau, t]} \mathbb{E}\|x(s) - y(s)\|^2, \]

where
\[ \gamma(t) = \nu + [L^2 + C^2_1] \frac{2M^2}{1 - \nu} \frac{1 - e^{-2\beta t}}{2\beta t} \]

By condition (\textit{H}.3), we have \( \gamma(0) = \nu = L^* M_1 < 1 \). Then there exists \( 0 < T_1 \leq T \) such that \( 0 < \gamma(T_1) < 1 \) and \( \psi \) is a contraction mapping on \( S_{T_1}(\varphi) \) and therefore has a unique fixed point, which is a mild solution of equation (1.1) on \( [-\tau, T_1] \). This procedure can be repeated in order to extend the solution to the entire interval \([\tau, T] \) in finitely many steps. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

4. An Example

In recent years, the interest in neutral systems has been growing rapidly due to their successful applications in practical fields such as physics, chemical technology, bioengineering, and electrical networks. We consider the following stochastic partial neutral functional differential equation with finite delays \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) \((0 \leq \tau_i \leq \tau < \infty, i = 1, 2)\), driven by a Rosenblatt process

\[
\begin{cases}
  d [u(t, \zeta) + G(t, u(t - \tau_1, \zeta))] = \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} u(t, \zeta) + b(t, \zeta) u(t, \zeta) + F(t, u(t - \tau_2, \zeta)) \right] dt \\
  \quad + \sigma(t) dZ_H(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq \pi,
  \\
  u(t, 0) = u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
  \\
  u(t, \zeta) = \varphi(t, \zeta), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0], \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq \pi,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( Z_H \) is a Rosenblatt process, \( b(t, \zeta) \) is a continuous function and is uniformly Hölder continuous in \( t, F, G : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions.

To study this system, we consider the space \( X = L^2([0, \pi]) \), \( Y = \mathbb{R} \) and the operator \( A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X \) given by \( Ay = y'' \) with

\[ D(A) = \{ y \in X : y'' \in X, \quad y(0) = y(\pi) = 0 \}. \]

It is well known that \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup \( \{ T(t) \}_{t \geq 0} \) on \( X \). Furthermore, \( A \) has discrete spectrum with eigenvalues \(-n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}\) and the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions given by

\[ e_n := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin nx, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]
In addition, \((e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a complete orthonormal basis in \(X\) and 
\[
T(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} < x, e_n > e_n, 
\]
for \(x \in X\) and \(t \geq 0\).

Now, we define an operator \(A(t) : D(A) \subset X \longrightarrow X\) by 
\[
A(t)x(\zeta) = Ax(\zeta) + b(t, \zeta)x(\zeta). 
\]
By assuming that \(b(., .)\) is continuous and that \(b(t, \zeta) \leq -\gamma (\gamma > 0)\) for every \(t \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta \in [0, \pi]\), it follows that the system 
\[
\begin{cases}
    u'(t) = A(t)u(t), & t \geq s, \\
    u(s) = x \in X,
\end{cases}
\]
has an associated evolution family given by 
\[
U(t, s)x(\zeta) = \left[ T(t - s) \exp \left( \int_{t}^{s} b(\tau, \zeta) d\tau \right) \right] (\zeta). 
\]
From this expression, it follows that \(U(t, s)\) is a compact linear operator and that for every \(s, t \in [0, T]\) with \(t > s\) 
\[
\|U(t, s)\| \leq e^{-(\gamma + 1)(t - s)} 
\]
In addition, \(A(t)\) satisfies the assumption \(H_1\) (see [2]).

To rewrite the initial-boundary value problem (4.1) in the abstract form we assume the following:

\(i)\) The substitution operator \(f : [0, T] \times X \longrightarrow X\) defined by \(f(t, u)(.) = F(t, u(\.))\) is continuous and we impose suitable conditions on \(F\) to verify assumption \(H_2\).

\(ii)\) The substitution operator \(g : [0, T] \times X \longrightarrow X\) defined by \(g(t, u)(.) = G(t, u(\.))\) is continuous and we impose suitable conditions on \(G\) to verify assumptions \(H_2\) and \(H_3\).

\(iii)\) The function \(\sigma : [0, T] \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2^0(L^2([0, \pi]), \mathbb{R})\) is bounded, that is, there exists a positive constant \(L\) such that \(\|\sigma(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2^0} \leq L < \infty\), uniformly in \(t \in [0, T]\), where \(L := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{-t} \).

If we put 
\[
\begin{cases}
    u(t)(\zeta) = u(t, \zeta), & t \in [0, T], \zeta \in [0, \pi] \\
    u(t, \zeta) = \varphi(t, \zeta), & t \in [-\tau, 0], \zeta \in [0, \pi],
\end{cases}
\]
then, the problem (4.1) can be written in the abstract form 
\[
\begin{cases}
    d[x(t) + g(t, x(t - r(t)))] = [A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t - \rho(t)))]dt + \sigma(t)dZ_{H}(t), & 0 \leq t \leq T; \\
    x(t) = \varphi(t), & -\tau \leq t \leq 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Furthermore, if we assume that the initial data \(\varphi = \{\varphi(t) : -\tau \leq t \leq 0\}\) satisfies \(\varphi \in C([-\tau, 0], L^2(\Omega, X))\), thus all the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 are fulfilled. Therefore, we conclude that the system (4.1) has a unique mild solution on \([-\tau, T]\).
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