

## PRESENTATION MATRICES OF TORSION MODULES OVER POLYNOMIAL RINGS

MOHAMMED ELHASSANI CHARKANI<sup>1</sup> AND AHMED NAJIM<sup>2\*</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with unit. For  $R[X]$ -modules which are  $R$ -free of finite rank, we give presentation matrices which are square of minimal order. Some applications to modules over group rings are also given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

All rings considered in this paper are supposed to be with unit. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An element of  $R$  is regular if it is a non-zero-divisor, and an ideal of  $R$  is not regular if it does not contain any regular element. A module  $M$  over the ring  $R$  is called a torsion module if all its elements are torsion elements, i.e., for each element  $m \in M$  there exists a regular element  $r \in R$  such that  $rm = 0$ .

The minimal number of generators of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , which is denoted by  $\mu_R(M)$ , is the smallest cardinal of the generating families of  $M$ . If  $M = (0)$ , then we put  $\mu_R(M) = 0$ .

A generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a  $CF$ -system if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R/I_i)x_i$  with  $I_i = \text{Ann}_R x_i = \{r \in R \mid r.x_i = 0\}$  and  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \neq R$ .

If an  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a finite projective resolution, the minimal length among all finite projective resolutions of  $M$  is called its projective dimension. If  $M$  does not admit a finite projective resolution, then by convention the projective dimension is said to be infinite. (See, for example, [11, 12]).

Let  $M$  be a finitely presented  $R$ -module. A presentation matrix of the module  $M$  associated to a generator system of  $M$  over  $R$  means relations matrix in the terminology of [2]. Let  $\mathbb{M} \in M_{n,q}(R)$  be the presentation matrix of the module  $M$  associated to a generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $M$  over  $R$ . For a positive integer  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Fitting ideal of  $M$  is defined to be the ideal  $F_k(M)$  generated by the determinants of all  $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ -submatrices of the matrix  $\mathbb{M}$ , if  $(n-k) \leq q$ , and otherwise  $F_k(M) = 0$ . For a positive integer  $k \geq n$ , we define  $F_k(M)$  by  $F_k(M) = R$ . These ideals are independent of the choice of

---

*Date:* Received: Oct 1, 2018; Accepted: Jan 29, 2019.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C12, 13E15, 20C05.

*Key words and phrases.*  $CF$ -system, finitely generated module, Fitting ideals, presentation matrix, torsion module.

the generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $M$ . (See, for example, [2, 7, 8, 11], for more details about Fitting ideals).

An exact sequence of  $R[X]$ -modules given in [1, 3, 4], and called characteristic exact sequence, gives a presentation matrix of the  $R[X]$ -module  $M$  whenever  $M$  is  $R$ -free. This matrix is square, but its order is not necessarily minimal.

Now, let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $K$ . For a polynomial  $P \in R[X]$ ,  $\bar{P}$  will denote the reduction of  $P$  modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R[X]$ -module. Then,  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  is a  $K[X]$ -module. Note that an  $R[X]$ -module that is  $R$ -free of finite rank is necessarily of torsion. An  $R[X]$ -module  $M$  which is finitely generated is called of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , if

$$M/\mathfrak{p}M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K[X]/(\bar{\phi}_i),$$

where  $\bar{\phi}_i$  are the invariant factors of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  such that  $\deg(\bar{\phi}_i) = s_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $M$  be an  $R[X]$ -module which is  $R$ -free of finite rank and of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , and let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$  such that  $\{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$  is a  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$ , where  $\bar{x}_i = x_i + \mathfrak{p}M$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In section 2, we show that  $\{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a basis of  $M$  over  $R$ . In section 3, we give a generator system of the kernel of the homomorphism of  $R[X]$ -modules defined from  $(R[X])^n$  to  $M$  by  $e_i \mapsto x_i$ , where  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is the canonical basis of  $(R[X])^n$ . As a result of the knowledge of this generator system we give a presentation matrix  $\mathbb{M}$  of the  $R[X]$ -module  $M$  associated to the generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ . This presentation matrix  $\mathbb{M}$  is square of order  $n$ . Also, we show that  $M$  admits a presentation  $0 \rightarrow (R[X])^n \rightarrow (R[X])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $n$  is minimal (i.e.,  $n$  is the smallest nonzero natural number  $k$  such that there exists an exact sequence of form  $0 \rightarrow (R[X])^k \rightarrow (R[X])^k \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ ). Finally, we show that the square presentation matrix  $\mathbb{M}$  is of minimal order. Section 4 is devoted to some applications: let  $K$  be a commutative field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two finite cyclic  $p$ -groups, and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $K[G]$ -module. We show that if  $M$  seen as  $K[G_1]$ -module is free, then for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu_{K[G]}(M) - 1\}$ , the Fitting ideal  $F_k(M)$  of  $M$  is not regular. This implies that  $M$  have projective dimension  $> 1$ .

## 2. FINITELY GENERATED TORSION $R[X]$ -MODULES

We begin this section by recalling the concept of a  $CF$ -module.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a  $CF$ -module if  $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i$ , where  $I_i$  are ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \neq R$ .

This notion of  $CF$ -module appears in [13] and [14], under the appellation “canonical form for a module”.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Suppose  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n$  and  $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq J_m$  are two sequences of ideals in  $R$ . We assume  $I_n \neq R \neq J_m$ . If  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i \cong$

$\bigoplus_{j=1}^m R/J_j$  as  $R$ -modules, then  $n = m$  and  $I_i = J_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (see [2, Lemma 15.13]).

Now we give some useful propositions.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then,  $M$  is a  $CF$ -module if and only if  $M$  admits a generator system which is a  $CF$ -system.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is a  $CF$ -module. Then, there exist ideals  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \neq R$ , and  $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the isomorphism from  $M$  to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i$ . Let  $x_i = \varphi^{-1}(1 + I_i)$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, it is not difficult to see that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R/I_i)x_i$ , and therefore,  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a generator system of  $M$  which is a  $CF$ -system.

Now, assume that  $M$  admits a generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  which is a  $CF$ -system. We have  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R/I_i)x_i$ , where  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  are ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \neq R$ , and the homomorphism  $\varphi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R/I_i)x_i$  defined by  $\varphi(\overline{r_1}, \overline{r_2}, \dots, \overline{r_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i$ , where  $\overline{r_i} = r_i + I_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , is an isomorphism. So,  $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i$ . Therefore,  $M$  is a  $CF$ -module.  $\square$

From the proof of Proposition 2.2, we note that if  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R/I_i)x_i$ , where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $M$  and  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$  are ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \neq R$ , then  $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/I_i$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $K$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated torsion  $R[X]$ -module. Every  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$  induces a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  and let  $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a system of  $M$  such that  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  is a  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$ . Therefore,  $\{\overline{X^j x_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a basis of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K$ . So, by Nakayama's lemma,  $\{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a generator system of  $M$  over  $R$ . Therefore,  $S$  is a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $K$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated torsion  $R[X]$ -module. Then,  $\mu_{R[X]}(M) = \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed,  $M/\mathfrak{p}M \cong K[X] \otimes_{R[X]} M$  and therefore  $\mu_{R[X]}(M) \geq \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ . By Proposition 2.3, every  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$  induces a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$  and therefore  $\mu_{R[X]}(M) \leq \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ . In conclusion  $\mu_{R[X]}(M) = \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ .  $\square$

From Theorems 5.10 of [2] we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring (not necessarily local), and  $M$  a free  $R$ -module of finite rank. Any minimal generator system of  $M$  is a basis of this module.*

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $K$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated torsion  $R[X]$ -module of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ . If  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  is a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$  such that  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  is a  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$ , then  $B = \{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a minimal generator system of  $M$  over  $R$ . If in addition we assume that  $M$  is  $R$ -free of finite rank, then  $B$  is a basis of  $M$  over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$  such that  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  is a  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$ . Therefore,  $\{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a basis of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K$ . So, by Nakayama's lemma,  $B = \{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a minimal generator system of  $M$  over  $R$ . Now, assume that  $M$  is  $R$ -free of finite rank. Then, by Lemma 2.5,  $B$  is a basis of  $M$  over  $R$ .  $\square$

### 3. PRESENTATION MATRICES OF TORSION $R[X]$ -MODULES

Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and residue field  $K$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated torsion  $R[X]$ -module of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ . Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  be a generator system of  $M$  over  $R[X]$  such that  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  is a  $CF$ -system of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  over  $K[X]$ . Then, by Proposition 2.6,  $\{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a generator system of  $M$  over  $R$ . For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $P_i$  be a polynomial of degree  $s_i$  of  $R[X]$  and of dominant coefficient invertible. There exists  $\{V_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  a set of elements of  $R[X]$  with  $\deg(V_{i,j}) < s_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that, for each  $i$ ,  $P_i \cdot x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n V_{i,j} x_j$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the canonical basis of  $(R[X])^n$ . Let  $\varphi : (R[X])^n \rightarrow M$  be the homomorphism of  $R[X]$ -modules defined by  $\varphi(e_i) = x_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  will be denoted by  $\ker(\varphi)$ . In the rest of this section we keep these notations, and unless otherwise stated we suppose that  $M$  is  $R$ -free of finite rank. In this case, by Proposition 2.6,  $\{X^j x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j < s_i\}$  is a basis of  $M$  over  $R$ .

To give a presentation matrix of the module  $M$  we first show the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $y_i = (V_{i,1}, \dots, V_{i,i-1}, V_{i,i} - P_i, V_{i,i+1}, \dots, V_{i,n})$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a generator system of  $\ker(\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $y_i \in \ker(\varphi)$  and the dominant coefficient of  $V_{i,i} - P_i$  is invertible in  $R$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) \in \ker(\varphi)$ . There exists  $(Q_{i,1}, R_{i,1}) \in (R[X])^2$  with  $\deg(R_{i,1}) < s_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that

$$(D_{i,1}) : U_i = Q_{i,1}(V_{i,i} - P_i) + R_{i,1}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) \in \ker(\varphi) &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n ((Q_{i,1}(V_{i,i} - P_i))x_i + Q_{i,1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n V_{i,j}x_j \\
&\quad - Q_{i,1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n V_{i,j}x_j + R_{i,1}x_i) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{i,1}((V_{i,i} - P_i)x_i + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n V_{i,j}x_j) \\
&\quad + (R_{i,1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,1}V_{j,i})x_i) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow (E_1) : \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{i,1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,1}V_{j,i})x_i = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

There exists  $(Q_{i,2}, R_{i,2}) \in (R[X])^2$  with  $\deg(R_{i,2}) < s_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that

$$(E_{i,2}) : R_{i,1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,1}V_{j,i} = Q_{i,2}(V_{i,i} - P_i) + R_{i,2}.$$

From the above we see that

$$(D_{i,2}) : U_i = (Q_{i,1} + Q_{i,2})(V_{i,i} - P_i) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,1}V_{j,i} + R_{i,2},$$

and that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (R_{i,1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,1}V_{j,i})x_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow (E_2) : \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{i,2} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,2}V_{j,i})x_i = 0.$$

By induction, for any nonzero natural number  $k$ , there exists  $(Q_{i,k}, R_{i,k}) \in (R[X])^2$  with  $\deg(R_{i,k}) < s_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , such that

$$(E_{i,k}) : R_{i,k-1} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,k-1}V_{j,i} = Q_{i,k}(V_{i,i} - P_i) + R_{i,k},$$

where  $R_{i,0} = U_i$  and  $Q_{i,0} = 0$ , and we have

$$(D_{i,k}) : U_i = \left( \sum_{r=1}^k Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} Q_{j,r} \right) V_{j,i} + R_{i,k},$$

and

$$(E_k) : \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{i,k} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,k}V_{j,i})x_i = 0.$$

Let  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $k$  be a nonzero natural number. In comparing the degrees in the equality  $(E_{i,k+1})$ , we see that if  $Q_{j,k+1} \neq 0$ , then there exist  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $j \neq i$  such that  $Q_{j,k} \neq 0$ . Now, if for all nonzero natural number  $k$ , there exists at least one  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $Q_{j,k} \neq 0$ , then we set  $u_k = \max\{\deg(Q_{i,k}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, Q_{i,k} \neq 0\}$ , and in comparing the degrees in the equalities  $(E_{i,k+1})$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we see that  $u_k > u_{k+1}$ . Therefore, we have a strictly decreasing sequence of nonzero natural numbers. Which is

impossible. So, there exists a nonzero natural number  $k_0$  such that  $Q_{j,k_0} = 0$ , for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, we have

$$(D_{i,k_0}) : U_i = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{j,r} \right) V_{j,i} + R_{i,k_0}, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

And from  $(E_{k_0}) : \sum_{i=1}^n (R_{i,k_0} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n Q_{j,k_0} V_{j,i}) x_i = 0$  we see that  $R_{i,k_0} = 0$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,

$$U_i = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{j,r} \right) V_{j,i}, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) \right) e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{j,r} \right) V_{j,i} \right) e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) \right) e_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{j,r} \right) V_{j,i} e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) \right) e_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{j,r} \right) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n V_{j,i} e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) (V_{i,i} - P_i) \right) e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n V_{i,j} e_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^{k_0-1} Q_{i,r} \right) y_i. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a generator system of  $\ker(\varphi)$ .  $\square$

Now we can give a presentation matrix of the module  $M$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *The square matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{1,1} - P_1 & V_{1,2} & V_{1,3} & \cdots & V_{1,n} \\ V_{2,1} & V_{2,2} - P_2 & V_{2,3} & \cdots & V_{2,n} \\ V_{3,1} & V_{3,2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & V_{n-1,n} \\ V_{n,1} & V_{n,2} & \cdots & V_{n,n-1} & V_{n,n} - P_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is a presentation matrix of the module  $M$  associated to its generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  over  $R[X]$ .

*Proof.* Obvious by Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The square matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{V_{1,1} - P_1} & \overline{V_{1,2}} & \overline{V_{1,3}} & \cdots & \overline{V_{1,n}} \\ \overline{V_{2,1}} & \overline{V_{2,2} - P_2} & \overline{V_{2,3}} & \cdots & \overline{V_{2,n}} \\ \overline{V_{3,1}} & \overline{V_{3,2}} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \overline{V_{n-1,n}} \\ \overline{V_{n,1}} & \overline{V_{n,2}} & \cdots & \overline{V_{n,n-1}} & \overline{V_{n,n} - P_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a presentation matrix of the module  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  associated to its generator system  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  over  $K[X]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\overline{e_1}, \overline{e_2}, \dots, \overline{e_n}\}$  be the canonical basis of  $(K[X])^n$ . Let  $\overline{\varphi} : (K[X])^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{p}M$  be the homomorphism of  $K[X]$ -modules defined by  $\overline{\varphi}(\overline{e_i}) = \overline{x_i}$ . Let  $\overline{y_i} = (\overline{V_{i,1}}, \dots, \overline{V_{i,i-1}}, \overline{V_{i,i} - P_i}, \overline{V_{i,i+1}}, \dots, \overline{V_{i,n}})$ . It is obvious that  $\overline{y_i} \in \ker(\overline{\varphi})$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $(\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2}, \dots, \overline{U_n}) \in \ker(\overline{\varphi})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\varphi}((\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2}, \dots, \overline{U_n})) = \overline{0} &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{U_i x_i} = \overline{0} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{U_i x_i} = \overline{0} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n U_i x_i \in \mathfrak{p}M. \end{aligned}$$

So, there exist  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n U_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i$ . Therefore,  $(U_1 - p_1, U_2 - p_2, \dots, U_n - p_n) \in \ker(\overline{\varphi})$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exist  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n \in R[X]$  such that  $(U_1 - p_1, U_2 - p_2, \dots, U_n - p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i y_i$ . As for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$   $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $(\overline{U_1}, \overline{U_2}, \dots, \overline{U_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{q_i y_i}$ . So,  $\{\overline{y_1}, \overline{y_2}, \dots, \overline{y_n}\}$  is a generator system of  $\ker(\overline{\varphi})$ . Now, the rest of the proof is obvious.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\phi_i$  be a monic polynomial of  $R[X]$  such that  $\overline{\phi_i}$  are the invariant factors of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$ . If for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we take  $P_i = -\phi_i$ , then we have  $\overline{0} = \overline{-\phi_i x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{-V_{i,j} x_j}$ . So,  $\overline{V_{i,j}} = \overline{0}$ , for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore, the square matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{\phi_1} & & & \overline{0} \\ & \overline{\phi_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \overline{0} & & & \overline{\phi_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a presentation matrix of the module  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$  associated to its generator system  $\{\overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2}, \dots, \overline{x_n}\}$  over  $K[X]$ . This is an expected result since

$$M/\mathfrak{p}M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K[X]/(\overline{\phi_i}).$$

Assume that  $M$  is annihilated by a polynomial  $\phi$ . Then, we can see  $M$  as  $R[X]/(\phi)$ -module.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{M} = (a_{ij}) \in M_{n \times n}(R[X]/(\phi))$ , where  $a_{ii} = V_{i,i} - P_i + \phi R[X]$  and  $a_{ij} = V_{i,j} + \phi R[X]$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then,  $\mathbb{M}$  is a presentation matrix of the module  $M$  associated to the generator system  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $M$  over  $R[X]/(\phi)$ .*

*Proof.* Obvious by Theorem 3.2. □

We use the foregoing notations, but we not assume that  $M$  is  $R$ -free. Then, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $y_i = (V_{i,1}, \dots, V_{i,i-1}, V_{i,i} - P_i, V_{i,i+1}, \dots, V_{i,n})$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a family of elements of the  $R[X]$ -module  $\ker(\varphi)$  which is free.*

*Proof.* For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $y_i \in \ker(\varphi)$  and the dominant coefficient of  $V_{i,i} - P_i$  is invertible in  $R$ . Let

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{1,1} - P_1 & V_{1,2} & V_{1,3} & \cdots & V_{1,n} \\ V_{2,1} & V_{2,2} - P_2 & V_{2,3} & \cdots & V_{2,n} \\ V_{3,1} & V_{3,2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & V_{n-1,n} \\ V_{n,1} & V_{n,2} & \cdots & V_{n,n-1} & V_{n,n} - P_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, Leibniz formula for determinants shows that  $\det(\mathbb{M})$  is a polynomial of degree  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ , and of dominant coefficient invertible. So,  $\det(\mathbb{M})$  is a regular element. Therefore,  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is free. □

Now, we return to the case where  $R$  is a commutative local ring and  $M$  is an  $R[X]$ -module which is  $R$ -free of finite rank. We have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *There exists an exact sequence of  $R[X]$ -modules of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow (R[X])^n \rightarrow (R[X])^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $n = \mu_{R[X]}(M)$ , and the family  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  mentioned in Lemma 3.1 is a basis of the  $R[X]$ -module  $\ker(\varphi)$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that there exists an exact sequence of  $R[X]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\varphi) \hookrightarrow (R[X])^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.6,  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a basis of the  $R[X]$ -module  $\ker(\varphi)$ . This completes the proof. □

*Remark 3.8.* It is obvious, from Proposition 2.4, that  $n$  in Theorem 3.7 is the smallest nonzero natural number  $k$  such that there exists an exact sequence of form  $0 \rightarrow (R[X])^k \rightarrow (R[X])^k \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . By Proposition 2.4 and the fact that  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is a basis of the  $R[X]$ -module  $\ker(\varphi)$ , the order of the square matrix given in Theorem 3.2 is minimal. If  $M$  is of rank  $m$  as  $R$ -free module, then the characteristic exact sequence gives an exact sequence of  $R[X]$ -modules (see [3, 4])

$$0 \rightarrow (R[X])^m \rightarrow (R[X])^m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

We have

$$m = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_n \geq n = \mu_{R[X]}(M).$$



## 4. APPLICATIONS TO MODULES OVER GROUP RINGS

Let  $K$  be a commutative field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ , where  $G_1$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group and  $G_2$  is a finite cyclic  $p$ -group. (See, for example, [6, 10, 15], for more information on these rings and their modules). We have  $K[G] \cong R[G_2]$ , where  $R = K[G_1]$ . Assume that  $G_2$  is of order  $p^s$  and generated by an element  $\sigma$ . We easily see that the homomorphism  $\psi : R[X] \rightarrow R[G_2]$  defined by  $\psi(X) = \sigma$  induces an isomorphism of  $R[X]/(X^{p^s} - 1)$  to  $R[G_2]$ . Every  $K[G]$ -module can be regarded as  $R[X]$ -module annihilated by  $X^{p^s} - 1$ . Note that as  $K$  has characteristic  $p > 0$ , then  $R[X]/(X^{p^s} - 1) \cong R[X]/(X - 1)^{p^s}$ . When a finitely generated  $K[G]$ -module  $M$  is considered as a module over the subalgebra  $K[G_1]$  of  $K[G]$ , we write  $M \downarrow_{G_1}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $K[G]$ -module such that  $M \downarrow_{G_1}$  is  $K[G_1]$ -free. Then,  $M$  has a presentation of the form  $(K[G])^n \xrightarrow{u} (K[G])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$ , where  $n = \mu_{K[G]}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \mu_{K[G]}(M) \\ &= \mu_{R[G_2]}(M) \text{ (} M \text{ is seen as } R[G_2]\text{-module)} \\ &= \mu_{R[X]/(X^{p^s} - 1)}(M) \text{ (} M \text{ is seen as } R[X]/(X^{p^s} - 1)\text{-module),} \end{aligned}$$

where  $R = K[G_1]$ . As  $M$  is annihilated by  $X^{p^s} - 1$ , then  $\mu_{R[X]/(X^{p^s} - 1)}(M) = \mu_{R[X]}(M)$ . By Proposition 2.4, we have  $\mu_{R[X]}(M) = \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ . So,  $n = \mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ , and by [2, Lemma 15.12],  $\mu_{K[X]}(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$  is the number of the invariant factors of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$ . By Theorem 3.7, we have an exact sequence

$$O \rightarrow (R[X])^n \rightarrow (R[X])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O.$$

Let  $I = (X - 1)^{p^s} R[X]$ . Then, the sequence

$$(R[X])^n \otimes_{R[X]} R[X]/I \rightarrow (R[X])^n \otimes_{R[X]} R[X]/I \rightarrow M \otimes_{R[X]} R[X]/I \rightarrow O$$

is exact. As  $R[X] \otimes_{R[X]} R[X]/I \cong R[X]/I$ , then we have an exact sequence  $(R[X]/I)^n \rightarrow (R[X]/I)^n \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow O$ , which gives an exact sequence  $(R[X]/I)^n \rightarrow (R[X]/I)^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$  since  $IM = 0$ . Therefore,  $(R[G_2])^n \rightarrow (R[G_2])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$  is exact since  $A/I \cong R[G_2]$ . As  $R[G_2] \cong K[G]$ , we obtain an exact sequence  $(K[G])^n \xrightarrow{u} (K[G])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$ .  $\square$

In the sequence  $(K[G])^n \xrightarrow{u} (K[G])^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$  of Proposition 4.1,  $u$  can not be injective, otherwise the sequence is split as a sequence of  $K$ -vector spaces. Since  $M \neq 0$ , then by comparing the dimensions of  $K$ -vector spaces we see that this is impossible.

One of applications of Proposition 4.1 is that if we take  $M$  as in this proposition and if we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$ , then by [5, Lemma 2.5],  $F_0(L).F_0(M) = F_0(N)$ .

Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $M$  be a torsion  $R[X]$ -module which is  $R$ -free of finite rank and of type  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ . For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $\phi_i$  be a monic polynomial of  $R[X]$  such that  $\overline{\phi_i}$  are the invariant factors of  $M/\mathfrak{p}M$ . Assume that  $M$  is annihilated by a monic polynomial  $\phi$ . Then,

we can see  $M$  as  $R[X]/(\phi)$ -module. Assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is nilpotent which has  $m$  as nilpotency order. We also assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by an element  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , the Fitting ideal  $F_k(M)$  of  $M$  seen as  $R[X]/(\phi)$ -module is not regular.*

*Proof.* In Corollary 3.5 we take  $P_i = -\phi_i$ , for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The polynomial  $\phi_1$  is monic. We have  $\overline{\phi_1}$  divide  $\overline{\phi}$ . Then, there exists  $q \in R[X]$  such that  $\overline{\phi} = \overline{q}\overline{\phi_1}$ . So, there exists  $u \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$  such that  $\phi = q\phi_1 + u$ .  $q$  is necessarily monic. For all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , there exists  $q_i \in R[X]$  such that  $\overline{\phi_i} = \overline{q_i}\overline{\phi_1}$ . So, there exists  $u_i \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$  such that  $\phi_i = q_i\phi_1 + u_i$ . We set  $v = \pi^{m-1}q + \phi R[X]$ . As  $q$  is not zero and  $\deg(q) < \deg(\phi)$  and  $\phi$  is monic, then  $v$  is a nonzero element of  $R[X]/(\phi)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} v \cdot (\phi_i + \phi R[X]) &= \pi^{m-1}q_i(\phi - u) + \pi^{m-1}qu_i + \phi R[X] \\ &= \pi^{m-1}q_i\phi + \phi R[X] \\ &= \phi R[X]. \end{aligned}$$

From Remark 3.4 we have  $V_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{p}R[X]$ , for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . So,  $\pi^{m-1}V_{i,j} = 0$ , for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . As the Fitting ideals  $F_k(M)$   $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  of  $M$  are generated by sums or sums of products whose terms are  $\phi_i + \phi R[X]$  or  $V_{i,j} + \phi R[X]$ , then these ideals are not regular.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $K[G]$ -module such that  $M \downarrow_{G_1}$  is  $K[G_1]$ -free. For any  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu_{K[G]}(M) - 1\}$ , the Fitting ideal  $F_k(M)$  of  $M$  is not regular.*

*Proof.* Note that  $R$  is local and its maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is nilpotent (see [6, Corollary 2.5, p. 464]). The fact that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is nilpotent can also be deduced from [9, Theorem]. So, by Lemma 4.2, and for any  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu_{K[G]}(M) - 1\}$ , the Fitting ideal  $F_k(M)$  of  $M$  is not regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *The projective dimension of any finitely generated  $K[G]$ -module  $M$  such that  $M \downarrow_{G_1}$  is  $K[G_1]$ -free is  $> 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3, for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu_{K[G]}(M) - 1\}$ , the Fitting ideal  $F_k(M)$  of  $M$  is not regular. As  $M$  is a torsion module, then necessarily, by [2, Theorem 13.53], it's projective dimension is  $> 1$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitres 1 à 3, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
2. William C. Brown, Matrices over Commutative Rings, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 169, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
3. J. A. Hermida-Alonso and M. Pisonero, *Invariant Factors of an Endomorphism and Finite Free Resolutions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **187** (1993), 201-226.
4. J. A. Hermida-Alonso and M. Pisonero, *What Polynomial Satisfies a Given Endomorphism?* Linear Algebra Appl. **216** (1995), 125-138.
5. H. Johnston and A. Nickel, *Noncommutative Fitting invariants and improved annihilation results*, J. London Math. Soc. **88**(1) (2013), 137-160.
6. G. Karpilovsky, The Jacobson radical of group algebras, Notas de mathematica, vol. 135, Elsevier Science, 1987.

7. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Revised third edition, Springer-Verlag, 2002.
8. R. E. MacRae, *On an Application of the Fitting Invariants*, *J. Algebra* **2** (1965), 153-169.
9. K. Motose, *On C. Loncour's Results*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), 570-571.
10. A. Najim and M. E. Charkani, *Finitely Generated Modules over Group Rings of a Direct Product of Two Cyclic Groups*, *Algebra*, vol. 2014, Article ID 256020, 5 pages, 2014. doi:10.1155/2014/256020
11. D. G. Northcott, *Finite Free Resolutions*, Cambridge University Press, 1976.
12. J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Second edition, Springer, 2009.
13. T. S. Shores and R. Wiegand, *Decomposition of Modules and Matrices*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973), 1277-1280.
14. T. S. Shores and R. Wiegand, *Rings Whose Finitely Generated Modules are Direct Sums of Cyclics*, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 152-172.
15. P. Webb, *Finite Group Representations for the Pure Mathematician*, University of Minnesota, Printed Mar. 13, 2013.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE DHAR EL MAHRAZ, SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1796 ATLAS, 30000 FEZ, MOROCCO  
*Email address:* [mohammed.charkanielhassani@usmba.ac.ma](mailto:mohammed.charkanielhassani@usmba.ac.ma)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE DHAR EL MAHRAZ, SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 1796 ATLAS, 30000 FEZ, MOROCCO  
*Email address:* [ahmed.najim@usmba.ac.ma](mailto:ahmed.najim@usmba.ac.ma)