

ON THE BASE LOCUS OF LINEAR SYSTEMS OF GENERAL DOUBLE POINTS

EDOARDO BALLICO*

ABSTRACT. Fix integers $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 4$ and $x > 0$ such that $(n+1)(x-1) + \binom{n+2}{2} \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Take a general $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\#S = x$ and let \mathcal{B} denote the scheme-theoretic base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|$, where $2S$ is the union of the double points with S as their reduction. Then $2S$ is the union of the connected components of \mathcal{B} containing at least one point of S . We prove this theorem proving that a general union of $x-1$ double points and one triple point has no higher cohomology in degree d .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For any smooth point p of an algebraic variety M and positive integer t let (tp, M) denote the closed subscheme of M with $(\mathcal{I}_{p,M})^t$ as its ideal sheaf. For $t = 2$ (resp. $t = 3$) we call this scheme a double point (resp. a triple point) of M . For any finite $S \subset M$ set $(2S, M) := \cup_{p \in S} (2p, M)$. If $M = \mathbb{P}^n$ we often write tp instead of (tp, \mathbb{P}^n) and $2S$ instead of $(2S, \mathbb{P}^n)$.

By the Terracini lemma the Hilbert function of general unions of a prescribed number of general unions of x double points of \mathbb{P}^n uniquely determine the dimension of the x -secant variety of the Veronese embeddings of \mathbb{P}^n ([1, Cor. 1.10]). J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz proved a very strong theorem, i.e. that, with a few known examples, the Hilbert function of a general union of x double points in \mathbb{P}^n is the “expected one” ([2, 3, 4]), computing also the dimension of all the secant varieties of the Veronese embedding. A related problem is the number of minimal additive decompositions of degree d forms with a prescribed rank. If the rank is less than the generic one, then uniqueness holds, except known examples, and a key step of the proof is to prove that (except known examples) for all $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $(n+1)x < \binom{n+d}{n}$ the base locus \mathcal{B} of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|$, S a general subset of \mathbb{P}^n with $\#S = x$, contains no scheme $2p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus S$ ([8, Theorem 1.2]).

Theorem 1.1. *Fix integers $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 4$ and $y \geq 0$ such that $(n+1)y + \binom{n+2}{2} \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general union of y double points and one triple point. Then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$.*

Date: Received: Jun 17, 2023; Accepted: Aug 19, 2023.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14N07; Secondary 14N05.

Key words and phrases. double points, triple point, Veronese embedding, base locus.

Obviously, Theorem 1.1 cannot be extended to the case $d = 3$ (Remark 2.2). For any positive integer x let $S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ denote the set of all $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\#S = x$.

Theorem 1.2. *Fix integers $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 4$ and $x > 0$ such that $(n+1)(x-1) + \binom{n+2}{2} \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$. Take a general $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ and let \mathcal{B} denote the scheme-theoretic base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|$. Then $2S$ is the union of the connected components of \mathcal{B} containing at least one point of S .*

Fix integers $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $x > 0$ such that $(n+1)x < \binom{n+d}{n}$. Take a general $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ and let \mathcal{B} denote the scheme-theoretic base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|$. By [8] \mathcal{B} contains no double points. If $(n+1)x \geq \binom{n+d}{n} - n + 1$, the obviously $\dim \mathcal{B} > 0$.

Question 1.3. Fix integers $n \geq 1$, $d \geq 3$ and $x > 0$ such that $(n+1)x < \binom{n+d}{n} - n$. Take a general $S \in S(\mathbb{P}^n, x)$ and let \mathcal{B} denote the scheme-theoretic base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{2S}(d)|$. Is $\mathcal{B} = 2S$? Is $\mathcal{B} = 2S$ at least with stronger assumptions on x , e.g. with the assumptions of Theorem 1.2?

The assumptions $d \geq 3$ and $(n+1)x < \binom{n+d}{n} - n$ give that (n, d, x) is not an exceptional cases of the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

In general, controlling the Hilbert function of at least one triple point is not easy ([7, 6]), although it is known in \mathbb{P}^2 ([9]).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Fix a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a zero-dimensional scheme. We often use the residual scheme $\text{Res}_H(Z)$ of Z with respect to H . We have $\text{Res}_H(Z) \subseteq Z$, $\text{Res}_H(Z) = Z$ if $Z \cap H = \emptyset$, $\text{Res}_H(Z) = \emptyset$ if and only if $Z \subset H$ and $\deg(Z) = \deg(Z \cap H) + \deg(\text{Res}_H(Z))$. If $p \in H$, then $\text{Res}_H(tp) = (t-1)p$, with the convention $0p = \emptyset$. We use the existence of the residual exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(Z)}(t-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z \cap H, H}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

We need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Fix integers $t \geq 0$ and $a \geq 0$, a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and a zero-dimension scheme $W \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(t)) = 0$. Let $A \subset H$ be a general subset such that $\#A = a$. Assume $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(W)}(t-1)) \leq \max\{0, h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(t)) - a\}$. Then either $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) = 0$ or $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) = 0$.*

Proof. We use induction on the integer a , the case $a = 0$ being trivial. Assume $a > 0$ and take a general $A \subset H$ such that $\#A = a$. We order the elements p_1, \dots, p_a of A and set $E := \{p_1, \dots, p_{a-1}\}$. The inductive assumption gives that either $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = 0$ or $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = 0$. If $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = 0$, then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) = 0$. Now assume $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = 0$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(t)) = 0$, we get $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(t) - a + 1) \geq 0$. Recall that A is the union of E and a general point of H . If $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) < h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t))$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) = 0$. Now assume $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(t)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t))$. Since p_a is general in H , H is contained in the base locus of $|\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)|$. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(W)}(t-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup E}(t)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(t) - a + 1) > \max\{0, h^0(\mathcal{I}_W(t)) - a\}$, a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.2. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and any $p \in \mathbb{P}^n$. Any $T \in |\mathcal{I}_{dp}(d)|$ is a cone with vertex p . Thus $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) \geq y$ for a general union $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of dp and y double points.

3. THE PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The cohomology of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 gives the case $n = 1$. Thus we may assume $n \geq 2$ and use induction on n . By the semicontinuity theorem for cohomology it is sufficient to find a union W of y double points and one triple point such that $\#W_{\text{red}} = y + 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(d)) = 0$. Fix a hyperplane H of \mathbb{P}^n and $p \in H$. Increasing if necessary y we may assume

$$\binom{n+d}{n} - n \leq (n+1)y + \binom{n+2}{2} \leq \binom{n+d}{n} \quad (3.1)$$

(a) Assume $n = 2$.

(a1) Assume d even. Take $E \subset H \setminus \{p\}$ such that $\#E = d/2 - 1$. Take a general $F \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus H$ such that $\#F = y + 1 - d/2$ and set $W := 2E \cup 3p$. We have $h^1(H, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap H}(d)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Res}_H(W)}(d-1)) = 0$. We have $\text{Res}_H(Z) = 2F \cup 2p \cup E$. We have $\deg(\text{Res}_H(Z)) = 3y + 6 - d - 1 \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$. We first check that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$. Since we take a general F after fixing p and any point of \mathbb{P}^n is contained in a hyperplane, $2F \cup 2p$ has the Hilbert function of a general union of $y+2+d/2$ double points. Since $d-1$ is an odd integer ≥ 3 and $\deg(2F \cup \{p\}) \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$ by the case $n = 2$ of the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem ([2, 3, 4]). Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = \binom{d+1}{2} - 3y - 6 + 3d/2 \geq \#E$. Since E is general in H , $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) \geq \#E$ and $\text{Res}_H(\text{Res}_H(W)) = 2F \cup \{p\}$, to get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_W(d)) = 0$ it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup \{p\}}(d-2)) \leq \max\{0, \binom{d+1}{2} - \deg(\text{Res}_H(W))\}$. If $d \geq 8$, then the latter inequality is true by the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

Now assume $d = 6$. We have $y = 7$ and hence $y + 2 - d/2 = 6$. We use that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_A(4)) = 0$ for a general union of 6 double points.

Now assume $d = 4$ and hence $y = 3$ and $\#F = y + 1 - d/2 = 2$. The set $|\mathcal{I}_{2F}(2)|$ is the double line spanned by F and hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup \{p\}}(d-2)) = 0$ by the generality of F .

(a2) Assume d odd. Hence $d \geq 5$. Take a general $G \subset H \setminus \{p\}$ such that $\#G = (d-1)/2$. Take $o \in G$ and set $E := G \setminus \{o\}$. Take a general $F \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus H$ such that $\#F = y - (d-1)/2$. Set $Z' := 2F$ and $W' := 2F \cup 2E \cup 3p$. Note that $h^i(H, \mathcal{I}_{\{o\} \cup (3p, H) \cup (2E, H), H}(d)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. Applying the Differential Horace Lemma ([2, 3, 4, 5]) to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{W_1}(d)) = 0$ for a general union of W' and 1 double point, it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup E \cup (2o, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$. We have $\deg(2F \cup E \cup (2o, H) \cup 2p) = 3y + 6 - d - 1 \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$. We first check that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$. The scheme $2F \cup 2p$ has the Hilbert function of a general union of $y - (d-1)/2$ double points. If $d \geq 7$ it is sufficient to apply the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

Now assume $d = 5$ and hence $y = 5$. Since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_B(4)) = 0$ for a general union of 4 double points, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = \binom{d+1}{2} - 3y + 3(d-1)/2$. By Lemma 2.1 it is sufficient to prove that the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) \rightarrow$

$H^0(\mathcal{O}_H(d-1))$ has image of dimension at least $\deg(E \cup (2o, H)) = (d+1)/2$. Since $\text{Res}_H(2F \cup 2p) = 2F \cup \{p\}$, it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F \cup \{p\}}(d-2)) \leq \binom{d+1}{2} - 3y + 3(d-1)/2 - (d+1)/2$. Since $2F \cup \{p\}$ has the Hilbert function of a general union of one point and $y - (d-1)/2$ double points, it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{2F}(d-2)) \leq \binom{d+1}{2} - 3y + 3(d-1)/2 - (d+1)/2$. Since $d-2$ is an odd integer ≥ 3 , it is sufficient to quote the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

(b) By step (a) we may assume $n \geq 3$ and use induction on n . Set $e := \lfloor ((\binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+1}{2})/n) \rfloor$ and $f := \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+1}{2} - ne$. Thus $0 \leq f \leq n-1$. Take a general $A \cup B \subset H \setminus \{p\}$ such that $\#A = e$, $\#B = f$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $Z' \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general union of $y - e - f$ double points. The inductive assumption on n and the generality of B give $h^i(H, \mathcal{I}_{(2A, H) \cup B \cup (3p, H)}(d)) = 0$, $i = 0, 1$. By the Differential Horace Lemma applied to all points of B to prove the theorem for the triple (n, d, y) it is sufficient to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$.

Claim 1: $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup 2B \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 1: Since $f \leq n-1$, any n points of \mathbb{P}^n are contained in a hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^n and we fix a general Z' after fixing p and F , the scheme $Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p$ has the Hilbert function of a general union of $y+1-e$ double points. Since $d-1 \geq 3$, the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$ if $\deg(Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p) \leq \binom{n+d-1}{n}$ and $(n, d) \notin \{(3, 5), (4, 4), (4, 5)\}$. Since $(n+1)y + \binom{n+2}{2} \leq \binom{n+d}{n}$ and $\deg((2A, H) \cup B \cup (3p, H)) = \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$, we have $\deg(Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p) \leq \binom{n+d-1}{n}$ if $e \geq f$. Since $f \leq n-1$, it is sufficient to have $e \geq n-1$, i.e. $\binom{n+d-1}{n-1} - \binom{n+1}{2} \geq n(n-1)$. Call $f_n(d)$ the difference between the left hand side and the right hand side of the last inequality. Since $f_n(d)$ is (for a fixed n) an increasing function of n , it is sufficient (for a fixed n) to have $\binom{n+3}{4} \geq n(3n-1)/2$, which is true for all $n \geq 3$. Now assume $(n, d) = (3, 5)$ and hence $y = 6$, $e = 3$ and $f = 0$ and hence $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p}(4)) = 0$. Now assume $(n, d) = (4, 4)$ and hence $y = 11$, $e = 6$, $f = 1$ and hence $y - e + 1 = 6 < 7$. We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p}(4)) = 0$. Now assume $(n, d) = (4, 5)$. We have $y = 22$, $e = 11$, $f = 2$ and hence $22 - 11 + 1 < 14$. Thus $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup 2F \cup 2p}(4)) = 0$.

Observation 1: Claim 1 implies $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$.

We have $\text{Res}_H(Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p) = Z' \cup \{p\}$. Since $\binom{n+d-1}{n} - \deg(Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p) = \binom{n+d}{n} - \binom{n+2}{2} - (n+1)y \geq 0$, to prove that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$ (and hence to prove the theorem for n and d) it is sufficient to prove that either $h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$ or $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup A \cup (2B, H) \cup 2p}(d-1)) = 0$. Since A is general in H , Observation 1 and Lemma 2.1 show that to conclude the proof it is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup \{p\}}(d-2)) \leq \max\{0, \binom{n+d-1}{n} - (n+1)(y - e - f) - nf - (n+1)\}$. If $d \geq 5$ and $(n, d) \notin \{(3, 6), (4, 5), (4, 6)\}$, then it is sufficient to use the Alexander-Hirschowitz theorem.

Now assume $(n, d) = (3, 6)$. Since $\binom{9}{3} = 84$ and $\binom{8}{2} = 28$, we have $y = 18$, $e = 7$ and $f = 1$. Thus Z' is a general union of 10 double points. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z'}(4)) = 0$.

Now assume $(n, d) = (4, 5)$. Since $\binom{9}{4} = 126$ and $\binom{8}{3} = 56$, we have $y = 22$, $e = 11$ and $f = 2$. Thus Z' is a general union of 9 double points. Hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z'}(3)) = 0$.

Now assume $(n, d) = (4, 6)$. Since $\binom{10}{4} = 210$ and $\binom{9}{3} = 84$, we have $y = 39$, $e = 18$ and $f = 2$. Thus Z' is a general union of 19 double points. Thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z'}(4)) = 0$.

(c) Assume $d = 4$. We have $y = \lfloor ((n+4)(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)/24 - (n+2)(n+1)/2)/(n+1) \rfloor = \lfloor ((n+4)(n+3)(n+2)/24 - (n+2)/2) \rfloor$, $e = \lfloor ((n+3)(n+2)(n+1)/24 - (n+1)/2) \rfloor$ and $f = (n+3)(n+2)(n+1)n/24 - (n+1)n/2 - ne$. Since we took Z' general after fixing p , we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup \{p\}}(2)) = 0$ if and only if $y - e - f \geq n$. Since A is general in H , we need to prove the following inequality (Lemma 2.1):

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z' \cup \{p\}}(2)) \leq \max\{0, \binom{n+3}{3} - (n+1)(y - e - f) - nf - (n+1)\} \quad (3.2)$$

We often write $y(n)$, $e(n)$ and $f(n)$ to stress the dependency of n . We first check all cases with $3 \leq n \leq 11$. We have $y(3) = 6$, $e(3) = 3$, $f(3) = 0$, $y(4) = 11$, $e(4) = 6$, $f(4) = 1$, $y(5) = 17$, $e(5) = 11$, $f(5) = 0$, $y(6) = 26$, $e(6) = 17$, $f(6) = 3$, $y(7) = 36$, $e(7) = 26$, $f(7) = 0$, $y(8) = 50$, $e(8) = 36$, $f(8) = 6$, $y(9) = 66$, $e(9) = 50$, $f(9) = 0$, $y(10) = 85$, $e(10) = 66$, $f(10) = 0$, $y(11) = 107$, $e(11) = 85$, $f(11) = 0$. Thus we may assume $n \geq 12$. We have $y \geq (n+4)(n+3)(n+2)/24 - (n+2)/2 - 1$ and $e + f \leq (n+3)(n+2)(n+1)/24 - (n+1)/2 + n - 1$. Thus $y - e - f \geq (n+3)(n+2)/8 - n \geq n$ for all $n \geq 12$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Fix $p \in S$ and set $S' := S \setminus \{p\}$ and $Z := 3p \cup 2S'$. Theorem 1.1 gives $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(d)) = 0$. Thus the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{2S'}(d)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{3p}(d))$ is surjective. This is equivalent to say that the connected component of \mathcal{B} containing p is the scheme $2p$. Since this is proved for all $p \in S$, $2S$ is the union of the connected components of \mathcal{B} containing a point of S . \square

Acknowledgement. The author is a member of GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

REFERENCES

1. Ådlandsvik, B. (1987). Joins and higher secant varieties. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 61, 213-222. <https://doi.org/10.7146/math.scand.a-12200>
2. Alexander, J., & Hirschowitz, A. (1992). Un lemme d'Horace différentiel: application aux singularité hyperquartiques de \mathbf{P}^5 . *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 1(3), 411-426.
3. Alexander, J., & Hirschowitz, A. (1992). La méthode d'Horace éclaté: application à l'interpolation en degré quatre. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 107(1), 585-602. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01231903>
4. Alexander, J., & Hirschowitz, A. (1995). Polynomial interpolation in several variables. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 4(2), 201-222.
5. Alexander, J., & Hirschowitz, A. (2000). An asymptotic vanishing theorem for generic unions of multiple points. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 140(2), 303-325. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002220000053>
6. Chiantini, L. & Markwig, T. (2008). Triple-point defective ruled surfaces. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 212(6), 1337-1346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2007.09.011>
7. Chiantini, L., & Markwig, T. (2010). Triple points defective surfaces. *Advances in Geometry*, 10(3), 527-548. <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom.2010.030>
8. Chiantini, L., Ottaviani, G., & Vannieuwenhoven, N. (2017). On generic identifiability of symmetric tensors of subgeneric rank. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(6), 4021-4042. <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/6762>

9. Hirschowitz, A. (1985). La méthode d'Horace pour l'interpolation à plusieurs variables, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 50(1), 337-388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01168836>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TRENTO, TRENTO, ITALY.

Email address: edoardo.ballico@unitn.it, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1432-7413>