

## GENERAL ROTATIONAL SURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN 4-SPACE WITH GENERALIZED 1-TYPE GAUSS MAP

MUSTAFA SAĞDIÇ<sup>1\*</sup>, NURETTIN CENK TURGAY<sup>2</sup> AND EROL KILIÇ<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider rotational surfaces in the Euclidean 4-space  $\mathbb{E}^4$  with the profile curve contained in a 2-plane. We obtain some classification results of such surfaces in terms of having generalized 1-type Gauss map.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notion of finite type map from a Riemannian manifold into a Euclidean space was firstly introduced by B. Y. Chen *et. al.* in [4]. Some of the initial results on this subject were published in [3]. By the definition, a map  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^N$  into a Euclidean space is said to be finite type if it can be expressed as

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_k$$

for some eigenvectors  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k$  of the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  of  $M$ , where  $\phi_0 \in \mathbb{E}^N$  is a constant vector. More precisely, if these eigenvectors are corresponding from  $k$  distinct eigenvalues of  $\Delta$ , then  $\phi$  is said to be of  $k$ -type.

Submanifolds of Euclidean spaces with ‘*finite type*’ Gauss map have caught a special interest after they firstly studied in [5]. Many works have been published in this topic so far, [2, 11, 17, 18, 19]. Note that from the definition above one may observe that a submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  has 1-type Gauss map  $G$  if the differential equation

$$\Delta G = \lambda(G + C) \tag{1.1}$$

is satisfied for a constant  $\lambda$  and a constant vector  $C$ . However, further studies have yielded that the Gauss map of some important surfaces such as helicoid and catenoid in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  satisfies the weaker condition obtained by replacing  $\lambda$  appearing in (1.1) with a smooth function. By considering these results, in [12] Kim and Yoon defined submanifolds with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Namely, a submanifold said to have ‘*pointwise 1-type*’ Gauss map if the equation

$$\Delta G = f(G + C)$$

is satisfied for a smooth function  $f$  and a constant vector  $C$ . Note that a pointwise 1-type Gauss map  $G$  is said to be of ‘*the first kind*’ (resp. ‘*the second kind*’) if

---

*Date:* Received: Dec 17, 2022; Accepted: May 30, 2023.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C40; Secondary 53B25.

*Key words and phrases.* Rotational surfaces, minimal surface, Gauss map, generalized 1-type.

$C = 0$  (resp.  $C \neq 0$ ). Submanifolds in Euclidean spaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map were recently studied in [1, 6, 7, 10, 14].

On the other hand, rotational surfaces in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^4$  are defined by Moore in [13] by considering the studies of Cole in [8] about the rotations in  $\mathbb{E}^4$ . A general rotational surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  with the profile curve  $\alpha = (x, y, z, w)$  is parametrized as

$$F(s, t) = (x(s) \cos at - y(s) \sin at, x(s) \sin at + y(s) \cos at, \quad (1.2) \\ z(s) \cos bt - \omega(s) \sin bt, z(s) \sin bt + \omega(s) \cos bt)$$

for some constants  $a$  and  $b$  called as ‘*the rates of rotation*’ in fixed planes of the rotation.

Rotational surfaces in Euclidean 4-space have been studied in some papers in terms of the type of their Gauss map. For example, rotational surfaces with finite type Gauss map were investigated in [19]. In [20], Yoon studied flat Vranceanu rotational surfaces. In particular, it is proved that such a surface has pointwise 1-type Gauss map if and only if it is an open part of a Clifford tor surface, [20]. Also, in [1], Arslan *et al.* proved a classification theorem for flat rotational surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Moreover, Dursun and the third named author considered simple rotational surfaces, [9]. Finally, in [10], this study extended to the general rotational surfaces with meridian curves in the two-dimensional plane.

Recent studies have shown that the Gauss map of some hypersurfaces of Euclidean spaces satisfies the equation

$$\Delta G = f_1 G + f_2 C \quad (1.3)$$

for some smooth functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . A submanifold is said to have ‘*generalized 1-type*’ Gauss map if its Gauss map satisfies the condition (1.3), [21]. After this definition was given, hypersurfaces of pseudo-Euclidean spaces have been considered in terms of having generalized 1-type Gauss map, [15, 16, 22]. In this paper, we study submanifolds with codimension 2. In particular, we focus on general rotational surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  with generalized 1-type Gauss map. We obtain general rotational surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map. Also, we show that if the Gauss map of a minimal surfaces is generalized 1-type Gauss map, it must necessarily be pointwise 1-type.

**1.1. Submanifolds in Euclidean spaces.** Let  $\mathbb{E}^m$  denote the Euclidean  $m$ -space given with the metric tensor

$$\tilde{g} = \langle , \rangle = \sum \cdot i = 1^m x_i^2$$

and the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , where  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  is the Cartesian coordinate system in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

For a given  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , the Gauss and Weingarten Formulæ

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad (1.4)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi, \quad (1.5)$$

are satisfied for all  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$  and  $\xi$  normal to  $M$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ ,  $h, A$  and  $D$  denote the second fundamental form, shape operator and normal connection, respectively.

Consider the case  $m = n + 2$  and let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+2}\}$  be an oriented local orthonormal frame on  $M$  such that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $M$  and  $e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}$  are normal to  $M$ . We use the following convention on the range of indices:  $1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n, n + 1 \leq r, s, t, \dots \leq n + 2$ . We define the connection form  $\omega_{ij}$  by

$$\omega_{ij}(X) = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X e_i, e_j \rangle$$

and let  $h_{ij}^\beta$  denote the components of the second fundamental form. Then, (1.4) and (1.5) turn into

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_{ij}(e_k) e_j + \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+2} h_{ik}^r e_r$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_k} e_s = - \sum_{j=1}^n h_{jk}^s(e_k) e_j + \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+2} \omega_{sr}(e_k) e_r,$$

respectively. On the other hand, the Laplacian of  $M$  is defined by

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} e_i - e_i e_i).$$

The mean curvature vector  $H$  and the squared length  $\|h\|^2$  of the second fundamental form  $h$  are defined, respectively, by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,r} h_{ii}^r e_r \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,r} h_{ij}^r h_{ji}^r.$$

The Codazzi equation of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}$  is given by

$$h_{ij,k}^r = h_{jk,i}^r,$$

where we put

$$h_{jk,i}^r = e_i(h_{jk}^r) + \sum_{s=n+1}^{n+2} h_{jk}^s \omega_{sr}(e_i) - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n (\omega_{j\gamma}(e_i) h_{\gamma k}^r + \omega_{k\gamma}(e_i) h_{j\gamma}^r).$$

Also, from the Ricci equation of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+2}$  we have

$$R^D(e_j, e_k; e_r, e_s) = \langle [A_{e_r}, A_{e_s}](e_j), e_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{ik}^r h_{ij}^s - h_{ij}^r h_{ik}^s),$$

where  $R^D$  is the normal curvature tensor.

Now, consider the case  $n = 2$ . Then, the Codazzi equations become

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(h_{22}^3) &= \omega_{21}(e_2)(h_{22}^3 - h_{11}^3) + h_{12}^4 \omega_{43}(e_2), \\ e_1(h_{12}^4) &= 2\omega_{21}(e_2)h_{12}^4 - h_{11}^3 \omega_{43}(e_2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

**1.2. Generalized Rotational Surfaces.** Moore defined a rotational surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  as a surface parametrized by (1.2), [13]. When the profile curve of the rotational surface is planar, (1.2) turns into

$$F(s, t) = (x(s) \cos at, x(s) \sin at, z(s) \cos bt, z(s) \sin bt). \quad (1.7)$$

Now, let  $M$  be a rotational surface parametrized by (1.7). We also consider the profile curve  $\beta = (x, z)$  of  $M$  and denote its curvature by  $\kappa$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\beta$  is parametrized by its arc-length; that is, the equation

$$(x')^2 + (z')^2 = 1$$

is satisfied and the curvature function  $\kappa$  of  $\beta$  is given by equation (1.8)

$$\kappa(s) = x'(s)z''(s) - x''(s)z'(s), \quad s \in I. \quad (1.8)$$

In this case, an orthonormal moving frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  on  $M$  is defined as

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad (1.9a)$$

$$e_3 = (-z' \cos at, -z' \sin at, x' \cos bt, x' \sin bt), \quad (1.9b)$$

$$e_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2}} (-bz \sin at, bz \cos at, ax \sin bt, -ax \cos bt), \quad (1.9c)$$

where  $e_1, e_2$  are tangent and  $e_3, e_4$  are normal to  $M$ . With a direct calculation, we obtain the connection forms of  $M$  and components of the second fundamental form of  $M$  as

$$h_{11}^3 = \kappa, \quad h_{22}^3 = \frac{a^2xz' - b^2zx'}{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2}, \quad h_{12}^3 = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$h_{12}^4 = \frac{ab(zx' - xz')}{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2}, \quad h_{11}^4 = h_{22}^4 = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\omega_{12}(e_1) = 0, \quad \omega_{12}(e_2) = \frac{a^2xx' + b^2zz'}{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2},$$

$$\omega_{43}(e_1) = 0, \quad \omega_{43}(e_2) = \frac{ab(xx' + zz')}{a^2x^2 + b^2z^2}. \quad (1.12)$$

Consequently, the shape operators of  $M$  has the matrix representation

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11}^3 & 0 \\ 0 & h_{22}^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_{12}^4 \\ h_{12}^4 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we obtain the mean curvature vector  $H$  and normal curvature  $K^D$  of  $M$  as

$$H = \frac{(h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3)}{2} e_3,$$

$$K^D(e_1, e_2; e_3, e_4) = h_{12}^4(h_{22}^3 - h_{11}^3), \quad (1.13)$$

where  $K^D = R^D(e_1, e_2; e_3, e_4)$ , [10].

## 2. GENERAL ROTATIONAL SURFACES WITH GENERALIZED 1-TYPE GAUSS MAP

In this section, it will be investigated whether the Gauss map  $G = e_1 \wedge e_2$  of general rotational surfaces satisfies the condition of the Laplacian (1.3).

Assume that  $M$  is a rotational surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  parametrized by (1.7). We consider the orthonormal moving frame  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  given in (1.9). For a constant vector  $C \in \Lambda(4, 2) \equiv \mathbb{E}^6$ , we define  $c_{ij}$  by

$$c_{ij} = \langle C, e_i \wedge e_j \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

Consequently, we have

$$C = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} c_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j, \quad (2.2)$$

Before we proceed we would like to state the following result directly obtained from [5, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *The Gauss map  $G = e_1 \wedge e_2$  of an oriented surface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  satisfies the equation*

$$\Delta G = \|h\|^2 G + 2R^D(e_1, e_2; e_3, e_4)e_3 \wedge e_4 - 2(D_{e_1}H \wedge e_2 + e_1 \wedge D_{e_2}H), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  is an orthonormal moving frame.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a rotational surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  given by (1.7) with generalized 1-type Gauss map. Then, the functions  $f_1, f_2$  and the constant vector  $C$  in (1.3) satisfy*

$$f_1 + f_2 c_{12} = \|h\|^2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$f_2 c_{14} = -(h_{11}^3 \omega_{34}(e_2) + h_{22}^3 \omega_{34}(e_2)),$$

$$f_2 c_{23} = (e_1(h_{11}^3) + e_1(h_{22}^3)),$$

$$f_2 c_{34} = 2h_{12}^4 (h_{22}^3 - h_{11}^3), \quad (2.5)$$

$$e_1(c_{12}) = -\kappa c_{23} + h_{12}^4 c_{14}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$e_1(c_{14}) = \kappa c_{34} - h_{12}^4 c_{12},$$

$$e_1(c_{23}) = -h_{12}^4 c_{34} + \kappa c_{12},$$

$$e_1(c_{34}) = -\kappa c_{14} + h_{12}^4 c_{23}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\omega_{12}(e_2)c_{23} - h_{12}^4 c_{34} - h_{22}^3 c_{12} + \omega_{34}(e_2)c_{14} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$-\omega_{12}(e_2)c_{14} + h_{22}^3 c_{34} + h_{12}^4 c_{12} - \omega_{34}(e_2)c_{23} = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where the functions  $c_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$  are defined by (2.1).

*Proof.* Assume that the Gauss map  $G = e_1 \wedge e_2$  of  $M$  is generalized 1-type, i.e., the equation (1.3) is satisfied for some smooth functions  $f_1, f_2$  and a constant vector  $C$ . Then, by combining (1.3) and (2.3) and inner product both sides of this equation with  $e_1 \wedge e_2, e_1 \wedge e_3, e_1 \wedge e_4, e_2 \wedge e_3, e_2 \wedge e_4$  and  $e_3 \wedge e_4$  we get (2.4)-(2.5) and as well as

$$f_2 c_{13} = -(e_2(h_{11}^3) + e_2(h_{22}^3)), \quad (2.10)$$

$$f_2 c_{24} = (h_{11}^3 \omega_{34}(e_1) + h_{22}^3 \omega_{34}(e_1)). \quad (2.11)$$

Since the  $h_{11}^3$  and  $h_{22}^3$  functions are only dependent on  $s$ , we have  $e_2(h_{11}^3) = e_2(h_{22}^3) = 0$ . By combining these equations with (2.10), we get  $c_{13} = 0$ . Moreover, (1.12) and (2.11) imply  $c_{24} = 0$ . Moreover, applying  $e_1$  to the equation (2.1), we get (2.6)-(2.7) and applying  $e_2$  to the equation (2.1), using  $c_{13} = c_{24} = 0$ , we get (2.8)-(2.9).  $\square$

**2.1. Rotational Surfaces with Flat Normal Bundle.** Let  $M$  be a rotational surfaces (1.7) and assume that its normal bundle is flat. In this case, the normal curvature  $K^D$  of  $M$  vanishes identically. Therefore, (1.13) implies either  $h_{12}^4 = 0$  or  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a general rotational surface defined by (1.7) with flat normal bundle. Then  $M$  has generalized 1-type Gauss map if and only if it can be parametrized by*

$$F(s, t) = (s \cos at, s \sin at, cs \cos bt, cs \sin bt), \quad (2.12)$$

for a non-zero constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We assume that a general rotational surface  $M$  with flat normal bundle has generalized 1-type Gauss map. Then we have two discrete case, that is  $h_{12}^4 = 0$  and  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3$ .

*Case I.*  $h_{12}^4 = 0$ . In this case (1.11) implies that the meridian curve  $\beta$  of  $M$  is found as a straight line passing through the origin. Therefore, we have  $\kappa = 0$ . Also, by combining  $h_{12}^4 = 0$  with the equation (2.5), we get  $c_{34} = 0$ . Therefore, for  $h_{12}^4 = 0$ , the Codazzi equation (1.6) becomes

$$e_1(h_{22}^3) = -h_{22}^3 \omega_{12}(e_2).$$

Thus, the necessary condition for having generalized 1-type Gauss map of  $M$  is we have

$$f_1 + f_2 c_{12} = (h_{22}^3)^2, \quad (2.13)$$

$$f_2 c_{14} = -h_{22}^3 \omega_{34}(e_2), \quad (2.14)$$

$$f_2 c_{23} = -h_{22}^3 \omega_{12}(e_2). \quad (2.15)$$

Since  $\kappa = h_{11}^3 = 0$ ,  $h_{12}^4 = 0$  and  $c_{34} = 0$ ,  $c_{12}$ ,  $c_{14}$  and  $c_{23}$  are found as constants from (2.6)-(2.7) equations. Put  $c_{12} = c_1$ ,  $c_{14} = c_2$  and  $c_{23} = c_3$ . Then, we have

$$C = c_1 e_1 \wedge e_2 + c_2 e_1 \wedge e_4 + c_3 e_2 \wedge e_3.$$

From equations (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$\omega_{34}(e_2) c_{23} = \omega_{12}(e_2) c_{14} \quad (2.16)$$

and considering that  $z = cx$  in equation (2.16), we get

$$(a^2 + b^2 c^2) c_2 - ab(1 + c^2) c_3 = 0 \quad (2.17)$$

Also, from equation (2.8), we get

$$\omega_{12}(e_2) c_3 - h_{22}^3 c_1 + \omega_{34}(e_2) c_2 = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly, if we use the equation  $z = cx$  in equation (2.18), we get

$$(a^2 + b^2 c^2) c_3 - c(a^2 - b^2) c_1 - ab(1 + c^2) c_2 = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

If we take  $a^2 + b^2c^2 = \lambda_1$ ,  $ab(1 + c^2) = \lambda_2$  and  $c(a^2 - b^2) = \lambda_3$  in equations (2.17) and (2.19), solve it depending on the parameter  $c_3 = 1$ , we can write the constant vector  $C$  as in the expression (2.20)

$$C = \left( \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_3}, 0, \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}, 1, 0, 0 \right). \quad (2.20)$$

Also, since  $c_3 = 1$ , from the expression (2.15)

$$f_2 = -h_{22}^3 \omega_{12}(e_2) \quad (2.21)$$

and, the  $f_1$  function from the expression (2.13), we obtain that

$$f_1 = (h_{22}^3)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{\lambda_1 \lambda_3} h_{22}^3 \omega_{12}(e_2) \quad (2.22)$$

For  $h_{12}^4 = 0$ , generalized rotational surfaces with flat normal bundle, can be found the constant  $C$  vector given by (2.20) expression, respectively,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  functions given by (2.22) and (2.21) expressions, so generalized rotational surfaces given by (2.12) are understood to have a generalized 1-type Gauss map.

*Case II.*  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3$ . In this case, from  $e_1(h_{11}^3) = e_1(h_{22}^3)$  and the Codazzi equation (1.6) we get  $e_1(h_{11}^3) = -h_{12}^4 \omega_{34}(e_2)$ .

Therefore equations (2.4)-(2.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 + f_2 c_{12} &= \|h\|^2, \\ f_2 c_{14} &= -2h_{11}^3 \omega_{34}(e_2), \\ f_2 c_{23} &= -2h_{12}^4 \omega_{34}(e_2), \\ e_1(c_{12}) &= -\kappa c_{23} + h_{12}^4 c_{14}, \\ e_1(c_{14}) &= -h_{12}^4 c_{12}, \\ e_1(c_{23}) &= \kappa c_{12}, \\ e_1(c_{34}) &= -\kappa c_{14} + h_{12}^4 c_{23} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

In equation (2.23), considering  $\kappa = h_{11}^3$ , we get

$$h_{11} c_{14} = h_{12}^4 c_{23}. \quad (2.24)$$

By multiplying both sides of the (2.24) equation by  $f_2$ , we get

$$h_{11}^3 = \varepsilon h_{12}^4, \quad \varepsilon = \mp 1. \quad (2.25)$$

Since  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3$ , the equation (2.25) is again written as

$$h_{22}^3 = \varepsilon h_{12}^4. \quad (2.26)$$

Thus, using (1.10) and (1.11) in (2.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{22}^3 &= \varepsilon h_{12}^4, \\ \frac{a^2 x z' - b^2 z x'}{a^2 x^2 + b^2 z^2} &= \varepsilon \frac{ab(zx' - xz')}{a^2 x^2 + b^2 z^2}, \\ a(a + \varepsilon b)xz' &= b(\varepsilon a + b)zx', \\ \frac{z'}{z} &= \varepsilon \frac{b x'}{a x}, \end{aligned}$$

$$z = cx^{\varepsilon \frac{b}{a}}. \quad (2.27)$$

Since it was shown in article [10] that the parabola expressed with (2.27) as a meridian curve is a minimal surface,  $H = 0$ , that is,  $h_{11}^3 + h_{22}^3 = 0$ . Also, since we assume  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3$ , it is found as  $h_{11}^3 = h_{22}^3 = \varepsilon h_{12}^4 = 0$ . Hence  $M$  is an open part of a plane. However, this yields a contradiction.  $\square$

**2.2. Minimal Rotational Surfaces.** In this subsection, we are going to study minimal generalized rotational surfaces. In the following theorem, we give the classification of such surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be a generalized rotational surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  parametrized by (1.7) and assume that  $M$  is minimal. If  $M$  has generalized 1-type Gauss map, then it is pointwise 1-type.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  has generalized 1-type Gauss map, i.e., (1.3) is satisfied for some smooth functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  a constant vector  $C \neq 0$ . Towards contradiction assume that the open subset

$$\mathcal{M} = \{p \in M \mid f_1(p) \neq f_2(p) \text{ and } f_2(p) \neq 0\}$$

is not empty. Note that because of the minimality of  $M$  we have  $h_{11}^3 = -h_{22}^3$  and  $e_1(h_{11}^3) = -e_1(h_{22}^3)$ . Therefore, the equations (2.4)-(2.5) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 + f_2 c_{12} &= \|h\|^2, \\ f_2 c_{34} &= 4h_{22}^3 h_{12}^4, \\ c_{14} = c_{23} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By considering these equations, we observe that the equations(2.6)-(2.7) become

$$e_1(c_{12}) = 0,$$

$$e_1(c_{14}) = \kappa c_{34} - h_{12}^4 c_{12} = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

$$e_1(c_{23}) = -h_{12}^4 c_{34} + \kappa c_{12} = 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$e_1(c_{34}) = 0.$$

From (2.28), (2.29) and  $h_{11}^3 = -h_{22}^3$ , we have  $h_{22}^3 = \varepsilon h_{12}^4$ . Next, by considering (1.10) and (1.11), we solve  $h_{22}^3 = \varepsilon h_{12}^4$  and obtain that the profile curve  $\beta = (x, z)$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  is

$$z = cx^{\varepsilon \frac{b}{a}}.$$

However, it is shown in the article [10] that  $\mathcal{M}$  has pointwise 1-type Gauss map. This contradicts with our initial assumption.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** This work is a part of the first author's doctoral thesis.



## REFERENCES

1. Arslan, K., Bayram, B.K., Bulca, B., Kim, Y.H., Murathan, C. & Öztürk, G. (2011). A Rotational embeddings in  $E^4$  with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, 35(3), 493–499. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-0910-59>
2. Baikoussis, C., Chen, B.Y. & Verstraelen, L. (1993). Ruled surfaces and tubes with finite type Gauss map. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 16(2), 341–349. <https://doi.org/10.3836/tjm/1270128488>
3. Chen, B.Y. (2014). *Total Mean Curvature and Submanifold of Finite Type*. 2nd Edition, World Scientific Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1142/9237>
4. Chen, B.Y., Morvan, J.M. & Nore, T. (1986). Energy, tension and finite type maps. *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, 9(3), 406–418. <https://doi.org/10.2996/kmj/1138037268>
5. Chen, B.Y. & Piccinni, P. (1987). Submanifolds with finite type Gauss map. *Bulletin of Australian Mathematical Society* 35(2), 161–186. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700013162>
6. Choi, M., Kim, D.S. & Kim, Y.H. (2009). Helicoidal surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 46(1), 215–223. <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.2009.46.1.215>
7. Choi, M. & Kim, Y.H. (2001). Characterization of the helicoid as ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 38(4), 753–761.
8. Cole, F.N. (1890). On rotations in space of four dimensions. *American Journal Mathematics*, 12(2), 191–210. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2007223>
9. Dursun U. & Arsan, G.G. (2011). Surfaces in the Euclidean space  $E^4$  with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 40(5), 617–625.
10. Dursun, U. & Turgay, N.C. (2012). General rotational surfaces in Euclidean space  $E^4$  with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Mathematical Communications*, 17(1), 71–81.
11. Dursun, U. & Yeğin, R. (2015). Hyperbolic submanifolds with finite type hyperbolic Gauss map. *International Journal Mathematics*, 26(2), 155014. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X15500147>
12. Kim, Y.H. & Yoon, D.W. (2000). Ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 34(3-4), 191–205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440\(99\)00063-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0393-0440(99)00063-7)
13. Moore, C.L.E. (1919). Surfaces of rotation in a space of four dimensions. *Annals of Mathematics*, 21(2) 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2007223>
14. Niang, A. (2005). Rotation surfaces with 1-type Gauss map. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 42(1), 23–27. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2005.42.1.023>
15. Qian, J., Fu, X. & Jung, S.D. (2020). Dual associate null scrolls with generalized 1-type Gauss maps. *Mathematics* 8(7), 1111. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8071111>
16. Qian, J., Su, M.F. & Kim, Y.H. (2021). Canal surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map. *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, 62, 199–211. <https://doi.org/10.33044/revuma.1685>
17. Yeğin Şen, R. & Dursun, U. (2017). On submanifolds with 2-type pseudo-hyperbolic Gauss map in pseudo-hyperbolic space. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 14(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-016-0819-0>
18. Yeğin, R. & Dursun, U. (2016). On submanifolds of pseudo-hyperbolic space with 1-type pseudo-hyperbolic Gauss map. *Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, 12(4), 315–337. <https://doi.org/10.15407/mag12.04.315>
19. Yoon, D.W. (2001). Rotation surfaces with finite type Gauss map in  $E^4$ . *Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Mathematics*, 32(12), 1803–1808.
20. Yoon, D.W. (2003). Some properties of the Clifford torus as rotation surface. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 34(6), 907–915.

21. Yoon, D.W., Kim, D.S., Kim, Y.H. & Lee, J.W. (2018). Hypersurfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss maps. *Mathematics*, 6(8), 130 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/math6080130>
22. Yoon, D.W., Kim, D.S., Kim, Y.H. & Lee, J.W. (2018). Classifications of flat surfaces with generalized 1-type Gauss map in  $L^3$ . *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 15(3), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1123-y>

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, İNÖNÜ UNIVERSITY, MALATYA, TURKEY  
*Email address:* [mustafasagdic-44@hotmail.com](mailto:mustafasagdic-44@hotmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ISTANBUL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ISTANBUL, TURKEY  
*Email address:* [turgayn@itu.edu.tr](mailto:turgayn@itu.edu.tr)

<sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, İNÖNÜ UNIVERSITY, MALATYA, TURKEY  
*Email address:* [erol.kilic@inonu.edu.tr](mailto:erol.kilic@inonu.edu.tr)