

## NORM-PEAK MULTILINEAR FORMS ON $\ell_1$

SUNG GUEN KIM

ABSTRACT. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . A continuous  $n$ -linear form  $T$  on a Banach space  $E$  is called *norm-peak* if there is unique  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$  such that  $\|x_1\| = \dots = \|x_n\| = 1$  and  $T$  attains its norm only at  $(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n)$ . In this paper, we characterize the norm-peak multilinear forms on  $\ell_1$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1961, Bishop and Phelps [3] showed that the set of norm-attaining functionals on a Banach space is dense in the dual space. Shortly after, attention was paid to possible extensions of this result to more general settings, specially bounded linear operators between Banach spaces. Lindenstrauss [13] studied norm-attaining operators. The problem of denseness of norm-attaining functions has moved to other types of mappings like multilinear forms or polynomials. The first result about norm-attaining multilinear forms appeared in a joint work of Aron, Finet and Werner [2], where they showed that the Radon-Nikodym Property is sufficient for the denseness of norm-attaining multilinear forms. Choi and Kim [4] showed that the Radon-Nikodym Property is also sufficient for the denseness of norm-attaining polynomials. Acosta [1] studied norm attaining multilinear mappings. Jiménez-Sevilla and Payá [7] studied the denseness of norm-attaining multilinear forms and polynomials on preduals of Lorentz sequence spaces. Payá and Saleh [14] presented new sufficient conditions for the denseness of norm-attaining multilinear forms. Note that the norm denseness problem of the set of norm-attaining forms in the spaces of all continuous multilinear forms is closely related to sets with the Radon-Nikodym Property. It is also linked to the broader topic of optimization on infinite dimensional normed spaces and variational principles (see Stegall [16], Finet and Georgiev [6]).

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ . We write  $S_E$  for the unit sphere of a Banach space  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(^n E)$  the Banach space of all continuous  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$  endowed with the norm  $\|T\| = \sup_{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_E \times \dots \times S_E} |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$ .  $\mathcal{L}_s(^n E)$  denote the closed subspace of all continuous symmetric  $n$ -linear forms on  $E$ . An element  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$  is called a *norming point* of  $T$  if  $\|x_1\| = \dots = \|x_n\| = 1$  and  $|T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \|T\|$ .

---

*Date:* Received: Feb 8, 2024; Accepted: Mar 1, 2024.

\* Corresponding author.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46A22.

*Key words and phrases.* Norming points, norm-peak multilinear forms.

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$ , we define

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ is a norming point of } T \right\}.$$

$\text{Norm}(T)$  is called the *norming set* of  $T$ . Notice that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  if and only if  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  for some  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ). Indeed, if  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ , then

$$|T(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n)| = |\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_n T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \|T\|,$$

which shows that  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . If  $(\epsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \epsilon_n x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$  for some  $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ), then

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left( \epsilon_1(\epsilon_1 x_1), \dots, \epsilon_n(\epsilon_n x_n) \right) \in \text{Norm}(T).$$

The following examples show that the norming sets can be empty, finite or infinite.

**Example 1.1.** (a) Let  $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a real sequence such that  $a_k > 0$  for all  $k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = 1$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  and

$$T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i x_i^{(1)} \cdots x_i^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(^n c_0).$$

We claim that  $\text{Norm}(T) = \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $\|T\| = 1$ . Assume that  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . Then,

$$1 = \left| T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i |x_i^{(1)}| \cdots |x_i^{(n)}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i = 1,$$

which shows that  $|x_i^{(1)}| = \cdots = |x_i^{(n)}| = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $(x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \notin c_0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\text{Norm}(T) = \emptyset$ .

(b) Let

$$T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) = x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_1^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(^n c_0).$$

Then,  $\|T\| = 1$  and

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \left\{ \left( (\pm 1, x_2^{(1)}, x_3^{(1)}, \dots), \dots, (\pm 1, x_2^{(n)}, x_3^{(n)}, \dots) \right) \in (c_0)^n : |x_j^{(k)}| \leq 1, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n, j \geq 2 \right\}.$$

(c) Let

$$T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) = x_1^{(1)} \cdots x_1^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1).$$

Then,  $\|T\| = 1$  and  $\text{Norm}(T) = \{(\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_1)\}$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

(d) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(^n E)$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . By Hahn-Banach theorem there are  $f_j \in E^*$  such that

$$f_j(x_j) = \|f_j\| = 1 \text{ for every } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $\phi$  be a permutation on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define  $T_{\phi, f_1, \dots, f_n} \in \mathcal{L}({}^{2n}E)$  by

$$T_{\phi, f_1, \dots, f_n}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n}) := \prod_{j=1}^n f_{\phi(j)}(y_{\phi(j)}) T(y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{2n}).$$

Then

$$(x_{\phi(1)}, \dots, x_{\phi(n)}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T_{\phi, f_1, \dots, f_n}).$$

If  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n E)$  is called a *norm attaining  $n$ -linear form* (see [4]).  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n E)$  is called a *norm-peak  $n$ -linear form* on  $E$  if  $\text{Norm}(T) = \{(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n)\}$  for some  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (S_E)^n$ .

For more details about the theory of multilinear mappings on a Banach space, we refer to [5].

For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\ell_1^m := \mathbb{R}^m$  with the  $\ell_1$ -norm and  $\ell_\infty^2 = \mathbb{R}^2$  with the supremum norm. Notice that if  $E = \ell_1^m$  or  $\ell_\infty^2$  and  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n E)$ ,  $\text{Norm}(T) \neq \emptyset$  since  $S_E$  is compact. Kim [8–11] classified  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}_s({}^2 \ell_\infty^2), \mathcal{L}({}^2 \ell_\infty^2), \mathcal{L}({}^2 \ell_1^2), \mathcal{L}_s({}^2 \ell_1^3)$  or  $\mathcal{L}_s({}^3 \ell_1^2)$ . Kim [12] classified  $\text{Norm}(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^2 \mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2)$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2$  denotes the plane with the hexagonal norm with weight  $0 < w < 1$   $\|(x, y)\|_{h(w)} = \max\{|y|, |x| + (1-w)|y|\}$ .

Saleh [15] showed that the norm-attaining continuous bilinear forms on  $L_1(\mu)$  are norm dense in all continuous bilinear forms if and only if  $\mu$  is completely atomic. This motivates the study of the norming sets of  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^2 \ell_1)$ , since one can expect many continuous bilinear forms with interesting norming sets in the  $\ell_1$ -setting.

In this paper, we characterize the norm-peak multilinear forms on  $\ell_1$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

The following presents an explicit formula for the norm of  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n \ell_1)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}({}^n \ell_1)$  with*

$$T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_n}^{(n)}$$

for some  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\|T\| = \sup \left\{ |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_i^{(k)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_1}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| T \left( (x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| |x_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{i_n}^{(n)}| \\
& \leq \sup \left\{ |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\} \left( \sum_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{i_1}^{(1)}| \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i_n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{i_n}^{(1)}| \right) \\
& = \sup \left\{ |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\} \\
& = \sup \left\{ |T(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n})| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\} \leq \|T\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the canonical basis for  $\ell_1$ .

Thus,

$$\|T\| = \sup \left\{ |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| : (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \right\}.$$

□

For simplicity we write  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ . We call  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}'$ 's the *coefficients* of  $T$ . Notice that if  $\|T\| = 1$ , then  $|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| \leq 1$  for all  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1)$ . Suppose that*

$$\left( (t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \in \text{Norm}(T).$$

*If  $t_{i_1'}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n'}^{(n)} \neq 0$  for some  $(i_1', \dots, i_n') \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , then  $|T(e_{i_1'}, \dots, e_{i_n'})| = |a_{i_1' \dots i_n'}| = \|T\|$  and  $(\pm e_{i_1'}, \dots, \pm e_{i_n'}) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the following claim:

**Claim.** If  $|a_{i_1' \dots i_n'}| < \|T\|$  for some  $(i_1', \dots, i_n') \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , then  $t_{i_1'}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n'}^{(n)} = 0$ .

Suppose not. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\| &= \left| T \left( (t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n}^{(n)}| \\
&= |a_{i_1' \dots i_n'}| |t_{i_1'}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n'}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1', \dots, i_n')\}} |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n}^{(n)}| \\
&< \|T\| |t_{i_1'}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n'}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1', \dots, i_n')\}} |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n}^{(n)}| \\
&\leq \|T\| |t_{i_1'}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n'}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1', \dots, i_n')\}} \|T\| |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |t_{i_n}^{(n)}| \\
&= \|T\| \left( \sum_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{i_1 \in \mathbb{N}} |t_{i_1}^{(1)}| \right) = \|T\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, the claim holds. This completes the proof. □

We characterize the norm attaining  $n$ -linear forms on  $\ell_1$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then,  $T$  is norm attaining if and only if there is  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Suppose not. Let  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . Write  $x_k = (x_j^{(k)})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Obviously, there is  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| \neq 0$  and  $x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_n}^{(n)} \neq 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= |T(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} |a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| |x_{k_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{k_n}^{(n)}| \\
 &= |a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| |x_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{i_n}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1, \dots, i_n)\}} |a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| |x_{k_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{k_n}^{(n)}| \\
 &< |x_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{i_n}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1, \dots, i_n)\}} |a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| |x_{k_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{k_n}^{(n)}| \\
 &\leq |x_{i_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{i_n}^{(n)}| + \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(i_1, \dots, i_n)\}} |x_{k_1}^{(1)}| \cdots |x_{k_n}^{(n)}| \\
 &= \left( \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{k_1}^{(1)}| \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{k_n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_{k_n}^{(n)}| \right) = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Note that

$$|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})| = |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1.$$

Thus,  $T$  is norm attaining.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $n, m \geq 2$ . Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1^m)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then,  $T$  is norm attaining if and only if there is  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^n$  such that  $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ .*

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Suppose that  $T$  is norm attaining. Let  $\delta_{i_1 \dots i_n} = 1$  if  $|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| = 1$  and  $\delta_{i_1 \dots i_n} = 0$  if  $|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1$ . We define*

$$T_\delta = \left( a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \delta_{i_1 \dots i_n} \right)_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1).$$

Then,  $\text{Norm}(T) = \text{Norm}(T_\delta)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1,  $\|T\| = \|T_\delta\| = 1$ .

( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $\left( (t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \right) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \left| T\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{|a_{i_1' \dots i_n'}| < 1} a_{i_1' \dots i_n'} t_{i_1'}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n'}^{(n)} + \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| = 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| = 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right| \text{ (by Lemma 2.2) } \\
&= \left| \sum_{|a_{i_1' \dots i_n'}| < 1} \left(a_{i_1' \dots i_n'} \delta_{i_1' \dots i_n'}\right) t_{i_1'}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n'}^{(n)} + \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| = 1} \left(a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \delta_{i_1 \dots i_n}\right) t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right| \\
&= \left| T_\delta\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \in \text{Norm}(T_\delta)$ .

( $\supseteq$ ). Let  $\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \in \text{Norm}(T_\delta)$ .

Write

$$\begin{aligned}
&T\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \\
&= T_\delta\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) + \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_n}^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $T_- \in \mathcal{L}({}^n \ell_1)$  be such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_-\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \\
&= T_\delta\left((x_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) - \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} x_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots x_{i_n}^{(n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A,  $\|T_-\| = 1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\geq \left| T\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| \\
&= \left| T_\delta\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) + \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right|, \\
&= \left| T_\delta\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) - \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\geq \left| T_\delta\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| + \left| \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right| \\
&= 1 + \left| \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left| \sum_{|a_{i_1 \dots i_n}| < 1} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} t_{i_1}^{(1)} \dots t_{i_n}^{(n)} \right| = 0$$

and so

$$\left| T\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| = \left| T_\delta\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \right| = 1.$$

Thus,  $\left((t_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (t_i^{(n)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\right) \in \text{Norm}(T)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We characterize the norm-peak  $n$ -linear forms on  $\ell_1$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$  for some  $a_{k_1 \dots k_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Then,  $T$  is a norm-peak  $n$ -linear form on  $\ell_1$  if and only if there is  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$  and  $|a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| < 1$  for every  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(j_1, \dots, j_n)\}$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Note that  $T$  is norm attaining. By Theorem 2.3, there is  $(j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1$ .

**Claim.**  $|a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| < 1$  for every  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{(j_1, \dots, j_n)\}$ .

Suppose not. There is  $(k'_1, \dots, k'_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that  $(k'_1, \dots, k'_n) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_n)$  and  $|a_{k'_1 \dots k'_n}| = 1$ . Note that

$$(\pm e_{j_1}, \dots, \pm e_{j_n}) \neq (\pm e_{k'_1}, \dots, \pm e_{k'_n}).$$

Since  $|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})| = |a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1 = |T(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_n})| = |a_{k_1 \dots k_n}|$ ,

$$\{(\pm e_{j_1}, \dots, \pm e_{j_n}), (\pm e_{k'_1}, \dots, \pm e_{k'_n})\} \subseteq \text{Norm}(T).$$

Thus,  $T$  is not a norm-peak  $n$ -linear forms on  $\ell_1$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let

$$T_\delta((x_j^{(1)})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_j^{(n)})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) = a_{j_1 \dots j_n} x_{j_1}^{(1)} \dots x_{j_n}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1).$$

By Theorem 2.5,

$$\text{Norm}(T) = \text{Norm}(T_\delta) = \{(\pm e_{j_1}, \dots, \pm e_{j_n})\}.$$

Thus,  $T$  is a norm-peak  $n$ -linear form on  $\ell_1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $n, m \geq 2$ . Let  $T = (a_{i_1 \dots i_n})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m} \in \mathcal{L}(^n \ell_1^m)$  with  $\|T\| = 1$ .*

*Then  $T$  is a norm-peak  $n$ -linear form on  $\ell_1^m$  if and only if there is  $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq m$  such that*

$$|a_{j_1 \dots j_n}| = 1 \text{ and } |a_{k_1 \dots k_n}| < 1$$

*for every  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^n$  with  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. Acosta, M. D. (1998). On multilinear mappings attaining their norms. *Studia Math.* 131 (2), 155-165.
2. Aron, R. M., Finet C. and Werner E. (1995). Some remarks on norm-attaining  $n$ -linear forms. *Function spaces* (Edwardsville, IL, 1994), 19-28, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, 172, Dekker, New York.
3. Bishop, E. and Phelps, R. (1961). A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 67, 97-98. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9904-1961-10514-4>
4. Choi, Y. S. and Kim, S. G. (1996). Norm or numerical radius attaining multilinear mappings and polynomials. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 54, 135-147. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/54.1.135>
5. Dineen, S. (1999). *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, London. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0869-6>
6. Finet C. and Georgiev, P. (2001). Optimization by  $n$ -homogeneous polynomial perturbations. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* 70, 251-257.
7. Jiménez Sevilla, M. and Payá, R. (1998). Norm attaining multilinear forms and polynomials on preduals of Lorentz sequence spaces. *Studia Math.* 127, 99-112. <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm-127-2-99-112>
8. Kim, S. G. (2020). The norming set of a bilinear form on  $l_\infty^2$ . *Comment. Math.* 60 (1-2), 37-63. <https://doi.org/10.14708/cm.v60i1-2.7071>
9. Kim, S. G. (2021). The norming set of a symmetric bilinear form on the plane with the supremum norm. *Mat. Stud.* 55 (2), 171-180. <https://doi.org/10.30970/ms.55.2.171-180>
10. Kim, S. G. (2021). The norming set of a symmetric 3-linear form on the plane with the  $l_1$ -norm. *New Zealand J. Math.* 51, 95-108. <https://doi.org/10.53733/177>
11. Kim, S. G. (2022). The norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^2l_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_s(^2l_1^3)$ . *Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov, Ser. III: Math. Comput. Sci.* 2(64) (2), 125-150. <https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2022.2.64.2.10>
12. Kim, S. G.(2023). The norming sets of  $\mathcal{L}(^2\mathbb{R}_{h(w)}^2)$ . *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged) 89 (1-2), 61-79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44146-023-00078-7>
13. Lindenstrauss, J. (1963). On operators which attain their norms, *Israel J. Math.* 1, 139-148.
14. Payá, R. and Saleh, Y. (2002). New sufficient conditions for the denseness of norm attaining multilinear forms. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 34, 212-218. <https://doi.org/10.1112/s0024609301008797>
15. Saleh, Y. (2008). Norm attaining multilinear forms on  $L_1(\mu)$ . *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. Id* 328481. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/328481>
16. Stegall, C. (1978). Optimization of functions on certain subsets of Banach spaces. *Math. Ann.* 236, 171-178.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, DAEGU 702-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

*Email address:* [sgk317@knu.ac.kr](mailto:sgk317@knu.ac.kr)