

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FOUR MAPPINGS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACE

SAURABH MANRO^{1*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by combining the ideas of pointwise R - weak commutativity and reciprocal continuity of mappings satisfying contractive condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

Atanassov [2] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 2004, Park [7] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t -norms and continuous t -conorms. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [1] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t -norm and continuous t -conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil et al. [4]. In 2006, Turkoglu [9] proved Jungck's [3] common fixed point theorem in the setting of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for commuting mappings. In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space by combining the ideas of pointwise R - weak commutativity and reciprocal continuity of mappings satisfying contractive condition.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [8] A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -norm if $*$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (ii) $*$ is continuous;
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. [8] A binary operation $\diamond$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous t -conorm if $\diamond$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\diamond$ is commutative and associative;
- (ii) $\diamond$ is continuous;

Date: Received: Jul 22, 2013; Accepted: Sep 19, 2013.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, Reciprocal continuity, R -weakly commuting mappings.

- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Alaca et al.[1] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as follows:

Definition 2.3. [1] A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, $\diamond$ is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (v) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (vi) for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous;
- (vii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (viii) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (ix) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (x) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (xii) for all $x, y \in X$, $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous;
- (xiii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y w.r.t. t respectively.

Remark 2.4. [1] Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t -norm $*$ and t -conorm $\diamond$ are associated as $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.5. [1] In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.6. [1] Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be

- (i) convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for all $t > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$;
- (ii) Cauchy sequence if, for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0$.

Definition 2.7. [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.8. [10] A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be commuting if $M(ASx, SAsx, t) = 1$ and $N(ASx, SAsx, t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.9. [10] A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be weakly commuting if $M(ASx, SAsx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, t)$ and $N(ASx, SAsx, t) \leq N(Ax, Sx, t)$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.10. [10] A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASx_n, SAx_n, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = u$ for some $u \in X$.

Definition 2.11. [9] A pair of self mappings (A, S) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be pointwise R -weakly commuting, if given $x \in X$, there exist $R > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$
 $M(ASx, SAx, t) \geq M(Ax, Sx, \frac{t}{R})$
and
 $N(ASx, SAx, t) \leq N(Ax, Sx, \frac{t}{R})$.
Clearly, every pair of weakly commuting mappings is pointwise R -weakly commuting with $R = 1$.

Definition 2.12. [6] Two mappings A and S of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be reciprocally continuous if $ASu_n \rightarrow Az, SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$, whenever $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence such that $Au_n \rightarrow z, Su_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$.

If A and S are both continuous, then they are obviously reciprocally continuous but converse is not true.

Lemma 2.13. [11] let $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence in an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$ and $N(u_n, u_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(u_{n-1}, u_n, t)$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.
Then $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 2.14. [11] Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ and if for a number $k \in (0, 1)$
 $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$.
Then $x = y$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 3.1. Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Further, let (A, S) and (B, T) be pointwise R -weakly commuting pairs of self mappings of X satisfying

- (3.1) $A(X) \subseteq T(X), B(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
- (3.2) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aM(Sx, Ty, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) &\geq a[M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt) * \\
&\quad M(By, Sx, kt) * M(Ax, Ty, kt)] \\
&+ M(Ty, Sx, t) * M(Ax, Sx, t) * M(By, Ty, t) \\
&\quad * M(By, Sx, \alpha t) * M(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aN(Sx, Ty, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) &\leq a[N(Ax, Sx, kt) \diamond N(By, Ty, kt) \diamond \\
&\quad N(By, Sx, kt) \diamond N(Ax, Ty, kt)] \\
&\quad + N(Ty, Sx, t) \diamond N(Ax, Sx, t) \diamond N(By, Ty, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(By, Sx, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, a \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 2), t > 0$. Then, the continuity of one of the mappings in compatible pair (A, S) and (B, T) on $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ implies their reciprocal continuity.

Proof. First, assume that A and S are compatible and S is continuous. We show that A and S are reciprocally continuous. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence such that $Au_n \rightarrow z$ and $Su_n \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since S is continuous, we have $SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $SSu_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since (A, S) is compatible, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASu_n, SAu_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASu_n, SAu_n, t) = 0.$$

This gives,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASu_n, Sz, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASu_n, Sz, t) = 0.$$

That is $ASu_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.1), for each n , there exists $v_n \in X$ such that $ASu_n = Tv_n$. Thus, we have $SSu_n \rightarrow Sz, SAu_n \rightarrow Sz, ASu_n \rightarrow Sz$ and $Tv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $ASu_n = Tv_n$.

Now we claim that $Bv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose not, then by (3.2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aM(SSu_n, Tv_n, kt)] * M(ASu_n, Bv_n, kt) &\geq a[M(ASu_n, SSu_n, kt) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, SSu_n, kt) * M(ASu_n, Tv_n, kt)] \\
&\quad + M(Tv_n, SSu_n, t) * M(ASu_n, SSu_n, t) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, SSu_n, t) \\
&\quad * M(ASu_n, Tv_n, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aN(SSu_n, Tv_n, kt)] \diamond N(ASu_n, Bv_n, kt) &\leq a[N(ASu_n, SSu_n, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, SSu_n, kt) \diamond N(ASu_n, Tv_n, kt)] \\
&\quad + N(Tv_n, SSu_n, t) \diamond N(ASu_n, SSu_n, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, SSu_n, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(ASu_n, Tv_n, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aM(Sz, Sz, kt)] * M(Sz, Bv_n, kt) &\geq a[M(Sz, Sz, kt) * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt) * M(Sz, Sz, kt)] \\
&\quad + M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aN(Sz, Sz, kt)] \diamond N(Sz, Bv_n, kt) &\leq a[N(Sz, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, kt)] \\
&\quad + N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$M(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \geq M(Sz, Bv_n, t) \text{ and } N(Sz, Bv_n, kt) \leq N(Sz, Bv_n, t).$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have $Bv_n \rightarrow Sz$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Again by (3.2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aM(Sz, Tv_n, kt)] * M(Az, Bv_n, kt) &\geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, kt) * M(Az, Tv_n, kt)] \\
&\quad + M(Tv_n, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\
&\quad * M(Bv_n, Sz, t) * M(Az, Tv_n, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aN(Sz, Tv_n, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bv_n, kt) &\leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, kt) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Az, Tv_n, kt)] \\
&\quad + N(Tv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bv_n, Tv_n, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Bv_n, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Tv_n, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aM(Sz, Sz, kt)] * M(Az, Sz, kt) &\geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Sz, Sz, kt) \\
&\quad * M(Sz, Sz, kt) * M(Az, Sz, kt)] \\
&\quad + M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) \\
&\quad * M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[1 + aN(Sz, Sz, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Sz, kt) &\leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, kt) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Sz, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Az, Sz, kt)] \\
&\quad + N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \\
&\quad \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$M(Az, Sz, kt) \geq M(Az, Sz, t) \text{ and } N(Az, Sz, kt) \leq N(Az, Sz, t).$$

By Lemma 2.14, $Az = Sz$. Therefore, $SAu_n \rightarrow Sz$, $ASu_n \rightarrow Sz = Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, A and S are reciprocally continuous on X . If the pair (B, T) is assumed to be compatible and T is continuous, the proof is similar. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Further, let (A, S) and (B, T) be pointwise R - weakly commuting pairs of self mappings of X satisfying (3.1), (3.2).*

If one of the mappings in compatible pair (A, S) or (B, T) is continuous, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By (3.1), since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ for any point $x_0 \in X$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$. Since, $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$, for this point $x_1 \in X$, we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2}.$$

By (3.2), for all $t > 0$ and $\alpha = (1 - q)$ with $q \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aM(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &\geq a[M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * \\ &\quad M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ &\quad + M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, (1 - q)t) \\ &\quad * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, (1 + q)t) \\ &\geq a[M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ &\quad * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, qt) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aN(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt)] \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &\leq a[N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \diamond \\ &\quad N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ &\quad + N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, (1 - q)t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, (1 + q)t) \\ &\leq a[N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, kt) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, kt)] \\ &\quad \diamond N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+2}, qt) \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) * M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt) \dots \dots \dots (3a)$$

and

$$N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, qt) \dots \dots \dots (3b)$$

Since the t - norm and the t - conorm are continuous and $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is left continuous and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is right continuous.

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$ in (3a) and (3b), we have

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \dots \dots \dots (3c)$$

and

$$N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \dots \dots \dots (3d)$$

Similarly, we also have

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t) \dots \dots \dots (3e)$$

and

$$N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, kt) \leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, t). \dots\dots\dots(3f)$$

In general, for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) * M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, t).$$

Consequently, it follows that for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) * M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \frac{t}{k^p})$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}).$$

As $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, t)$$

and

$$N(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, kt) \leq N(y_m, y_{m+1}, t).$$

Hence by Lemma 2.13, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is complete, $\{y_n\}$ converges to $z \in X$. Its subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$, $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Sx_{2n+2}\}$ also converges to z .

Now, suppose that (A, S) is a compatible pair and S is continuous. Then by Lemma 3.1, A and S are reciprocally continuous, then $Sx_n \rightarrow Sz$, $ASx_n \rightarrow Az$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As, (A, S) is a compatible pair. This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ASx_n, Sx_n, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ASx_n, Sx_n, t) = 0 ;$$

i.e. $M(Az, Sz, t) = 1$ and $N(Az, Sz, t) = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, $Az = Sz$.

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $Az = Tp = Sz$.

By (3.2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aM(Sz, Tp, kt)] * M(Az, Bp, kt) &\geq a[M(Az, Sz, kt) * M(Bp, Tp, kt) * \\ &\quad M(Bp, Sz, kt) * M(Az, Tp, kt)] \\ &+ M(Tp, Sz, t) * M(Az, Sz, t) * M(Bp, Tp, t) \\ &\quad * M(Bp, Sz, t) * M(Az, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aN(Sz, Tp, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bp, kt) &\leq a[N(Az, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, kt) \diamond \\ &\quad N(Bp, Sz, kt) \diamond N(Az, Tp, kt)] \\ &+ N(Tp, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Sz, t) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(Bp, Sz, t) \diamond N(Az, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

This implies $M(Az, Bp, kt) \geq M(Az, Bp, t)$ and $N(Az, Bp, kt) \leq N(Az, Bp, t)$.

By Lemma 2.14, we have $Az = Bp$.

Thus, $Az = Bp = Sz = Tp$.

Since, A and S are pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings, there exists $R > 0$, such that

$$M(ASz, SAz, t) \geq M(Az, Sz, t) = 1 \text{ and } N(ASz, SAz, t) \leq N(Az, Sz, t) = 0.$$

Therefore, $ASz = SAz$ and $AAz = ASz = SAz = SSz$.

Similarly, B and T are pointwise R -weakly commuting mappings, we have $BBp =$

$BTp = TBp = TTp$. Again by (3.2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aM(SAz, Tp, kt)] * M(AAz, Bp, kt) &\geq a[M(AAz, SAz, kt) * M(Bp, Tp, kt) * \\ &\quad M(Bp, SAz, kt) * M(AAz, Tp, kt)] \\ &\quad + M(Tp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, SAz, t) \\ &\quad * M(Bp, Tp, t) * M(Bp, SAz, t) \\ &\quad * M(AAz, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aN(SAz, Tp, kt)] \diamond N(AAz, Bp, kt) &\leq a[N(AAz, SAz, kt) \diamond N(Bp, Tp, kt) \diamond \\ &\quad N(Bp, SAz, kt) \diamond N(AAz, Tp, kt)] \\ &\quad + N(Tp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, SAz, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(Bp, Tp, t) \diamond N(Bp, SAz, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(AAz, Tp, t) \end{aligned}$$

This implies, for all $t > 0$

$$M(AAz, Bp, kt) \geq M(AAz, Bp, t) \text{ and } N(AAz, Bp, kt) \leq N(AAz, Bp, t).$$

Hence by Lemma 2.14, $AAz = Az = SAz$. Hence Az is common fixed point of A and S . Similarly by (3.2), $Bp = Az$ is a common fixed point of B and T . Hence, Az is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

For Uniqueness: Suppose that $Ap (\neq Az)$ is another common fixed point of A, B, S and T . Again by (3.2), take $\alpha = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aM(SAz, TAp, kt)] * M(AAz, BAp, kt) &\geq a[M(AAz, SAz, kt) \\ &\quad * M(BAp, TAp, kt) * M(BAp, SAz, kt) \\ &\quad * M(AAz, TAp, kt)] + M(TAp, SAz, t) \\ &\quad * M(AAz, SAz, t) * M(BAp, TAp, t) \\ &\quad * M(BAp, SAz, t) * M(AAz, TAp, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aN(SAz, TAp, kt)] \diamond N(AAz, BAp, kt) &\leq a[N(AAz, SAz, kt) \\ &\quad \diamond N(BAp, TAp, kt) \diamond N(BAp, SAz, kt) \diamond \\ &\quad N(AAz, TAp, kt)] + N(TAp, SAz, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(AAz, SAz, t) \diamond N(BAp, TAp, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(BAp, SAz, t) \diamond N(AAz, TAp, t) \end{aligned}$$

This implies, for all $t > 0$

$$M(Az, Ap, kt) \geq M(Az, Ap, t) \text{ and } N(Az, Ap, kt) \leq N(Az, Ap, t).$$

Hence by using Lemma 2.14, $Az = Ap$. Thus, uniqueness follows. $\square$

Taking $S = T = I_X$ in above theorem, we get following result:

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Further, let A and B are reciprocally continuous mappings on X satisfying*

(3.3) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aM(x, y, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) &\geq a[M(Ax, x, kt) * M(By, y, kt) * \\ &\quad M(By, x, kt) * M(Ax, y, kt)] \\ &\quad + M(y, x, t) * M(Ax, x, t) * M(By, y, t) \\ &\quad * M(By, x, \alpha t) * M(Ax, y, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + aN(x, y, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) &\leq a[N(Ax, x, kt) \diamond N(By, y, kt) \diamond \\ &\quad N(By, x, kt) \diamond N(Ax, y, kt)] \\ &\quad + N(y, x, t) \diamond N(Ax, x, t) \diamond N(By, y, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(By, x, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, y, (2 - \alpha)t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X, a \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 2), t > 0$.

Then A and B has a unique common fixed point.

REFERENCES

1. C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu, and C. Yildiz, *Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **29**(2006)1073-1078.
2. K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy sets and system, **20**(1986) 87-96.
3. G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **83**(1976) 261-263.
4. I. Kramosil and J. Michalek, *Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces*, Kybernetika, **11**(1975) 326-334.
5. S. Kumar and B.D. Pant, *A common fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric space using implicit relation*, Filomat, **22**(2) (2008) 43-52.
6. S. Muralisankar and G. Kalpana, *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using reneral contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, **4**(11) (2009) 505-518.
7. J. H. Park, *Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **22** (2004) 1039-1046.
8. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North Holland Amsterdam, 1983.
9. D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca, Y.J. Cho and C. Yildiz, *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, J. Appl. Math and Computing, **22**(1) (2006),411-424.
10. D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca and C. Yildiz, *Compatible maps and Compatible maps of type (α) and (β) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Demonstratio Math., **39**(3) (2006), 671-684.
11. D. Turkoglu, C. Alaca and C. Yildiz, *Common fixed point theorems of compatible maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces*, Southeast asian Bulletin of Mathematics, **32**(2008) 21-33.

¹ SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER APPLICATIONS, THAPAR UNIVERSITY, PATIALA

E-mail address: saauravmanro@hotmail.com