Gulf Journal of Mathematics
Vol 2, Issue 3 (2014) 59-70

ON A CERTAIN CLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

SAURABH PORWAL[1*] AND ALKA KANAUJIA[2]

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to study a new subclass of harmonic univalent functions by using fractional calculus operator. We obtain coefficient conditions, extreme points, distortion bounds and radius of convexity for this class. We also show that the class studied in this paper is closed under convolution and convex combination and discuss a class preserving integral operator. The results obtained for this class reduce to the corresponding results for several known classes in the literature are briefly indicated.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A continuous complex-valued function $f = u + iv$ defined in a simply-connected domain D is said to be harmonic in D if both u and v are real harmonic in D. In any simply-connected domain we can write $f = h + \overline{g}$ where h and g are analytic in D. We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f. A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in D is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|,\ z \in D$, (see Clunie and Sheil-Small [3]).

Denote by S_H the class of function $f = h + \overline{g}$ that are harmonic, univalent and sense-preserving in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ for which $f(0) = f_z(0) - 1 = 0$. Then for $f = h + \overline{g} \in S_H$ we may express the analytic functions h and g as

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,\ g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k,\ |b_1| < 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Note that the class S_H reduces to the class S of normalized analytic univalent functions if the co-analytic part of f i.e. $g \equiv 0$. For this class $f(z)$ may be expressed as

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \tag{1.2}$$

For more basic results on harmonic functions one may refer to the following introductory text book by Duren [6], (see also [1], [12], [13] and reference there in).

Date: Received: Sep 7., 2013; Accepted: Apr 15, 2014.
* Corresponding author.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.
Key words and phrases. Harmonic, Univalent, Fractional Calculus Operator.

2. Fractional Calculus

The following definitions of fractional derivatives and fractional integrals are due to Owa [9] and Srivastava and Owa [16].

Definition 2.1. The fractional integral of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\lambda}} d\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0, f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-\xi)$ to be real when $(z-\xi) > 0$.

Definition 2.2. The fractional derivative of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$D_z^{\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{\lambda}} d\xi, \tag{2.2}$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1, f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z-plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-\xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 2.1 above.

Definition 2.3. Under the hypothesis of Definition 2.2, the fractional derivative of order $n+\lambda$ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\lambda} f(z)$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

Using Definition 2.2 and its known extension involving fractional derivative Owa and Srivastava [11] introduced the operator $\Omega^{\lambda} : A \to A$ as follows.

$$\Omega^{\lambda} f(z) = \Gamma(2-\lambda) z^{\lambda} D_z^{\lambda} f(z), \ (\lambda \neq 2, 3, 4, \ldots), \tag{2.3}$$

where A denotes the class of functions of form (1.2) which are analytic in U.

Let $S_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ denote the subclass of S_H consisting of functions f of the form (1.1) satisfying the following condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z(\Omega^{\lambda} h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^{\lambda} g(z))'}}{\mu\{z(\Omega^{\lambda} h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^{\lambda} g(z))'}\} + (1-\mu)\{\Omega^{\lambda} h(z) + \overline{(\Omega^{\lambda} g(z))}\}} \right\} \geq \alpha, \tag{2.4}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

Let $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ be the subclass of $S_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ for which $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$, where $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k, \ g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k, \ |b_1| < 1. \tag{2.5}$$

By specializing the parameters in the subclasses $S_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ and $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, we obtain the following known subclasses of S_H studied earlier by various researchers.

(i) $S_H(\alpha,\lambda,0) \equiv S_H(\alpha,\lambda)$ and $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,0) \equiv TS_H(\alpha,\lambda)$ studied by Dixit and Porwal [4], (see also [8]).
(ii) $S_H(\alpha,0,\mu) \equiv S_H(\alpha,\mu)$ and $TS_H(\alpha,0,\mu) \equiv TS_H(\alpha,\mu)$ studied by Öztürk *et al.* [10], (see also [5]).
(iii) $S_H(\alpha,0,0) \equiv S_H^*(\alpha)$ and $TS_H(\alpha,0,0) \equiv TS_H^*(\alpha)$ studied by Jahangiri [7].
(iv) $S_H(0,0,0) \equiv S_H^*$ and $TS_H(0,0,0) \equiv TS_H^*$ studied by Silverman [14], Silverman and Silvia [15], (see also [2]).

3. Main results

We begin with a sufficient coefficient condition for functions in $S_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Lef $f = h+\overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (1.1). Furthermore, let*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}|a_k| + \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}|b_k|\right\}\phi(k,\lambda) \leq 2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $a_1 = 1,\ 0 \leq \alpha < 1,\ 0 \leq \mu \leq 1,\ 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and

$$\phi(k,\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}.$$

Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in U and $f \in S_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$.

Proof. First we note that f is locally univalent and sense-preserving in U. This follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
|h'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k|r^{k-1} \\
&> 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k| \\
&\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|, \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|, \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|b_k| \\
&> \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|b_k|r^{k-1} \\
&\geq |g'(z)|.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that f is univalent in U. Suppose that $z_1, z_2 \in U$ such that $z_1 \neq z_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f(z_1)-f(z_2)}{h(z_1)-h(z_2)}\right| &\geq 1-\left|\frac{g(z_1)-g(z_2)}{h(z_1)-h(z_2)}\right| \\
&= 1-\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(z_1^k-z_2^k)}{z_1-z_2+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k(z_1^k-z_2^k)}\right| \\
&> 1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|b_k|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k|} \\
&\geq 1-\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|} \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $f \in S_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ using the fact that $Re\ w \geq \alpha$, if and only if $|1-\alpha+\omega| \geq |1+\alpha-\omega|$. It suffices to show that

$$|A(z)+(1-\alpha)B(z)| - |A(z)-(1+\alpha)B(z)| \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A(z) &= z(\Omega^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^\lambda g(z))'} \\
B(z) &= \mu\{z(\Omega^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^\lambda g(z))'}\} + (1-\mu)\{\Omega^\lambda h(z) + \overline{(\Omega^\lambda g(z))}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the values of $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in L.H.S. of (3.2) and performing the simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left|(2-\alpha)z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[k+(1-\alpha)\mu k+(1-\alpha)(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)a_k z^k - \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[k+(1-\alpha)\mu k-(1-\alpha)(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)b_k z^k}\right| \\
&- \left|-\alpha z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[k-(1-\alpha)\mu k-(1-\alpha)(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)a_k z^k - \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[k-(1-\alpha)\mu k+(1-\alpha)(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)b_k z^k}\right| \\
&\geq 2(1-\alpha)|z|\left[1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k||z|^{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k||z|^{k-1}\right] \\
&> 2(1-\alpha)|z|\left[1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|\right] \\
&\geq 0, \text{ using (3.1).}
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient bounds (3.1) is sharp because equality holds for the following function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{\{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)\}\,\phi(k,\lambda)} x_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{\{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)\}\,\phi(k,\lambda)} \overline{y_k z^k}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| = 1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

In the following theorem, it is proved that the condition (3.1) is also necessary for functions $f = h + \overline{g}$ where h and g are of the form (2.5).

Theorem 3.2. *Let the function $f = h + \overline{g}$ be such that h and g are given by (2.5). Then $f \in TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k| + \{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|] \leq 2(1-\alpha), \quad (3.4)$$

where $a_1 = 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ and

$$\phi(k,\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(2-\lambda)}{\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Since $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu) \subset S_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ this gives the if part of the theorem. So we only need to prove the "only if" part of the theorem. To this end, for functions f of the form (2.5) we notice that the condition

$$Re\left\{ \frac{z(\Omega^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^\lambda g(z))'}}{\mu\{z(\Omega^\lambda h(z))' - \overline{z(\Omega^\lambda g(z))'}\} + (1-\mu)\{\Omega^\lambda h(z) + \overline{\Omega^\lambda g(z)}\}} \right\} \geq \alpha$$

is equivalent to

$$Re\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|\overline{z}^k}{z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{k\mu + (1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k\mu - (1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|\overline{z}^k} \right\} \geq 0.$$

The above condition must holds for all values of z, $|z| = r < 1$. Upon choosing the value of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, we must have

$$\frac{(1-\alpha) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\{k\mu + (1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|a_k|r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\{k\mu - (1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)|b_k|r^{k-1}} \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

If the condition (3.4) does not hold then the numerator in (3.5) is negative for r sufficiently close to 1. Thus there exist a $z_0 = r_0$ in (0,1) for which the quotient in (3.5) is negative. This contradicts the required condition for $f \in TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ and so the proof is complete. □

Next, we determine the extreme points of closed convex hulls of $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ denoted by clco $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$.

Theorem 3.3. *If $f \in$ clco $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, if and only if*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\{x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_k(z)\}, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$h_1(z) = z, \;\; h_k(z) = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{[k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)}z^k, \;\; (k = 2, 3, 4, \ldots)$$

$$g_k(z) = z + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{[k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)]\phi(k,\lambda)}\overline{z}^k, \;\; (k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots),$$

$$x_k \geq 0, \;\; y_k \geq 0, \;\; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x_k + y_k) = 1.$$

In particular the extreme points of $TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$.

Proof. For function f of the form (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\{x_k h_k(z) + y_k g_k(z)\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x_k + y_k)z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)}x_k z^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)\}\phi(k,\lambda)}y_k \overline{z}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}\phi(k,\lambda)\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{[k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)]\,\phi(k,\lambda)}x_k\right\}$$
$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{(1-\alpha)}\phi(k,\lambda)\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{[k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)]\,\phi(k,\lambda)}y_k\right\}$$
$$=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}x_k+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}y_k=1-x_1\leq 1,$$

and so $f\in \operatorname{clco} TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f\in \operatorname{clco} TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. Set

$$x_k=\frac{\{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)\}\,\phi(k,\lambda)}{(1-\alpha)}|a_k|,\ \ (k=2,3,4,\ldots)$$

and

$$y_k=\frac{\{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)\}\,\phi(k,\lambda)}{(1-\alpha)}|b_k|,\ \ (k=1,2,3,\ldots).$$

Then note that by Theorem 3.2,

$$0\leq x_k\leq 1,(k=2,3,4,...)\text{ and }0\leq y_k\leq 1,\ \ (k=1,2,3,\ldots).$$

We define

$$x_1=1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}x_k-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}y_k$$

and note that by Theorem 3.2, $x_1\geq 0$. Consequently, we obtain

$$f(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x_kh_k(z)+y_kg_k(z))$$

as required. □

The following theorem gives the bounds for functions in $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ which yields a covering result for this class.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f\in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. Then*

$$|f(z)|\leq(1+|b_1|)r+\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}-\frac{1+\alpha(1-2\mu)}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}|b_1|\right)\frac{2-\lambda}{2}r^2,\ \ |z|=r<1$$

and

$$|f(z)|\geq(1-|b_1|)r-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}-\frac{1+\alpha(1-2\mu)}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}|b_1|\right)\frac{2-\lambda}{2}r^2,\ \ |z|=r<1.$$

Proof. We only prove that the right hand inequality. The proof for the left hand inequality is similar and will be omitted. Let $f\in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. Taking the

absolute value of f we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| &\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(|a_k|+|b_k|)r^2 \\
&= (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{1-\alpha}{\{2-\alpha(1+\mu)\}\,\phi(2,\lambda)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\{2-\alpha(1+\mu)\}\,\phi(2,\lambda)}{1-\alpha}(|a_k|+|b_k|)r^2 \\
&\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2\{2-\alpha(1+\mu)\}} \\
&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|a_k| + \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|b_k|\right)\phi(k,\lambda)r^2 \\
&\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2\{2-\alpha(1+\mu)\}}\left(1-\frac{1+\alpha(1-2\mu)}{1-\alpha}|b_1|\right)r^2 \\
&\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)} - \frac{1+\alpha(1-2\mu)}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}|b_1|\right)\frac{2-\lambda}{2}r^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following covering result follows from the left hand inequality in Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. *Let f of the form (2.5) be such that $f \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. Then*

$$\left\{\omega : |\omega| < \left(1-\frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2(2-\alpha(1+\mu))}\right) - \left(1-\frac{[1+\alpha(1-2\mu)]}{2-\alpha(1+\mu)}\frac{2-\lambda}{2}\right)|b_1|\right\} \subset f(U).$$

Theorem 3.6. *If $f \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$, then f is convex in the disc*

$$|z| \leq \min_k \left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{k\{(1-\alpha)-(1+\alpha(1+2\mu))|b_1|\}}\right\}^{1/k-1}, \ (k=2,3,4,\ldots).$$

Proof. Let $f \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ and let $r\,(0<r<1)$ be fixed. Then $r^{-1}f\,(rz) \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2(|a_k|+|b_k|)r^{k-1} \\
=&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(|a_k|+|b_k|)(kr^{k-1}) \\
\leq&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi\,(k,\lambda)\,|a_k| + \left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\right\}\phi\,(k,\lambda)\,|b_k|\right)\left(kr^{k-1}\right) \\
\leq&1-|b_1|,
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$kr^{k-1} \leq \frac{1-|b_1|}{1-\dfrac{1+\alpha(1-2\mu)}{1-\alpha}|b_1|},$$

which is true if

$$r \leq \min_{k} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-|b_1|)}{k\{(1-\alpha)-(1+\alpha(1-2\mu))|b_1|\}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}, \ (k=2,3,4,\ldots).$$

□

4. Convolution and Convex Combinations

In this section, we show that the class $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ is closed under convolution and convex combination. Now we need the following definition of convolution of two harmonic functions.

Let the functions $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be defined by

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|\, z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|\, \bar{z}^k$$

and

$$F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |A_k|\, z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|\, \bar{z}^k,$$

we define their convolution

$$(f * F)(z) = f(z) * F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k A_k|\, z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k B_k|\, \bar{z}^k. \tag{4.1}$$

Using this definition, we show that the class $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ is closed under convolution.

Theorem 4.1. *For $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < 1$, let $f \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ and $F \in TS_H(\beta,\lambda,\mu)$. Then*

$$(f * F)(z) \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu) \subset TS_H(\beta,\lambda,\mu).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|\, z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k|\, \bar{z}^k$ be in $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ and $F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |A_k|\, z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |B_k|\, \bar{z}^k$ be in $TS_H(\beta,\lambda,\mu)$. Then the convolution $(f * F)(z)$ is given by (4.1). We wish to show that the coefficients of $(f * F)(z)$ satisfy the required condition given in Theorem 3.2. For $F \in TS_H(\beta,\lambda,\mu)$ we note that $|A_k| \leq 1$ and $|B_k| \leq 1$. Now, for the convolution function $(f * F)(z)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda)\, |a_k A_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda)\, |b_k B_k|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda)\, |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda)\, |b_k|$$

≤ 1, (Since $f \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$).

Therefore $(f * F)(z) \in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. □

Next, we show that the class $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ is closed under convex combinations of its members.

Theorem 4.2. *The class $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$ is closed under convex combination.*

Proof. For $i=1,2,3,\ldots$, let $f_i(z)\in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$, where $f_i(z)$ is given by

$$f_i(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}|a_{k_i}|z^k+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|b_{k_i}|\bar{z}^k.$$

Then by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|a_{k_i}|+\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|b_{k_i}|\right)\phi(k,\lambda)\leq 2. \tag{4.2}$$

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i=1$, $0\leq t_i\leq 1$, the convex combination of f_i may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_if_i(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i|a_{k_i}|\right)z^k+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i|b_{k_i}|\right)\bar{z}^k. \tag{4.3}$$

Then by (4.2), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i|a_{k_i}|\right)+\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i|b_{k_i}|\right)\right\}\phi(k,\lambda)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)-\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|a_{k_i}|+\frac{k(1-\alpha\mu)+\alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha}|b_{k_i}|\right)\phi(k,\lambda)\right\}$$

$$\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_i$$

$$=2.$$

This is the condition required by (3.4) and so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}t_if_i\in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$. □

5. A Family of Class Preserving Integral Operator

Let $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}$ be defined by (1.1). Then $F(z)$ defined by the relation

$$F(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^c}\int_0^z t^{c-1}h(t)\,dt+\overline{\frac{c+1}{z^c}\int_0^z t^{c-1}g(t)\,dt},\ (c>-1). \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f(z)=h(z)+\overline{g(z)}\in S_H$ be given by (2.5) and $f\in TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$, $0\leq\alpha<1$, $0\leq\lambda<1$ and $0\leq\mu\leq 1$. Then $F(z)$ defined by (5.1) is also in the class $TS_H(\alpha,\lambda,\mu)$.*

Proof. From the representation (5.1) of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{c+1}{c+k}|a_k|z^k+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{c+1}{c+k}|b_k|\bar{z}^k.$$

Since $f \in TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) |b_k| \leq 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right) |a_k| \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k} \right) |b_k| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) - \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) |a_k| \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1-\alpha\mu) + \alpha(1-\mu)}{1-\alpha} \right\} \phi(k,\lambda) |b_k| \\
&\leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $F(z) \in TS_H(\alpha, \lambda, \mu)$. □

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions and also to Prof. K.K. Dixit (Director, Gwalior Institute of Information Technology, Gwalior, (M.P.), India) for many helpful suggestions and encouragement.

References

1. O.P. Ahuja, *Planar harmonic univalent and related mappings*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **6** (4) (2005), Art. 122, 1-18.
2. Y. Avci and E. Zlotkiewicz, *On harmonic univalent mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect., **A** 44 (1990), 1-7.
3. J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. Series AI Math., **9** (3) (1984), 3-25.
4. K.K. Dixit and Saurabh Porwal, *An application of fractional calculus to harmonic univalent functions*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **102** (4) 2010, 261-271.
5. K.K. Dixit and Saurabh Porwal, *Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Rajasthan Acad. Phy. Sci., **8** (2) (2009), 147-158.
6. P. Duren, Harmonic Mappings in the Plane, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol.156, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
7. J.M. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disc*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **235** (1999), 470-477.
8. V. Kumar, Saurabh Porwal and Poonam Dixit, *A new subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by fractional calculus*, Indian J. Math., **52** (3) (2010), 599-613.
9. S. Owa, *On the distortion theorem I*, Kyungpook Math. J., **18** (1978), 53-59.
10. M. Öztürk, S. Yalcin and M. Yamankaradeniz, *Convex subclass of harmonic starlike functions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **154** (2004), 449-459.
11. S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39** (1987), 1057-1077.

12. S. Ponnusamy and A. Rasila, *Planar harmonic mappings*, RMS Mathematics Newsletters, **17** (2) (2007), 40-57.
13. S. Ponnusamy and A. Rasila, *Planar harmonic and quasiconformal mappings*, RMS Mathematics Newsletters, **17** (3) (2007), 85-101.
14. H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **220** (1998), 283-289.
15. H. Silverman and E.M. Silvia, *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, New Zealand J. Math., **28** (1999), 275-284.
16. H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *An application of the fractional derivative*, Math. Japon., **29** (1984), 383-389.

[1] Department of Mathematics, U.I.E.T., C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur-208024, (U.P.), India
E-mail address: saurabhjcb@rediffmail.com

[2] Department of Mathematics, U.I.E.T., C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur-208024, (U.P.), India