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# SIGN DOMINATION IN ARITHMETIC GRAPHS 

B. CHALUVARAJU ${ }^{1 *}$ AND V. CHAITRA ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

Investigation of the graph, whose vertices are labelled with the natural numbers and adjacency among them influenced by concepts of number theory is of prime importance. This marks the collaboration of higher arithmetic with graph theory. In this paper, we compute the sign domination number of one such graph called Arithmetic graph. Also, we characterize the sign domination number with domination and efficient domination number of arithmetic graph.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)=V$ of order $|V|=n$, edge set $E(G)=E$ of size $|E|=m$ and let $v$ be a vertex of $V$. The open neighborhood of $v$ is $N(v)=\{u \in V: u v \in E(G)\}$ and closed neighborhood of $v$ is $N[v]=N(v) \cup\{v\}$. For any undefined term of graph theory, we refer [6].

Number theory sometimes called higher arithmetic, consist of the study of the properties of whole numbers. Particularly, primes and prime power factorization are very important concepts in number theory. The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic says that every integer greater than 1 can be written in the form $p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}, \ldots, p_{l}^{a_{l}}$, where $a_{i} \geq 0$ and the $p_{i}$ 's are distinct primes. The factorization is unique, except possibly for the order of the factors. This interplay between Number theory and Graph theory motivates to define a new class of graph, namely Arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ defined as follows: Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}, \ldots, p_{l}^{a_{l}}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ is the graph whose vertex set consists of the divisors of $k$ and two vertices $u, v$ are adjacent in arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ if and only if GCD $(u, v)=p_{i}$, for any prime divisor $p_{i}$ of $k$. As vertex 1 is an isolated vertex, we consider arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ without vertex 1 . For more details, we follow [1] and [10].

The concept of sign domination was initiated by Dunbar et al. [5] in the following sense: A sign dominating function of a graph $G$ is a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow$ $\{-1,1\}$ such that $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V$. The sign domination number of a graph $G$ is $\gamma_{s}(G)=\min \{w(f): f$ is sign dominating function $\}$. The classical domination number and efficient domination number of a graph $G, \gamma(G)$ and

[^0]$\gamma_{e}(G)$, is similarly defined to be the minimum weight function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ and $f(N[v])=1$ respectively for all $v \in V$. Cockayne et al.[2] introduced the concept of efficient domination and studied by Chaluvaraju et al. [3] and [4]. An existence of a graph with the given domination number was initiated by Vangipuram et al.[12] and studied by Maheshwari et al. [9] and [11]. Complete review on theory of domination and its related parameters can be found in [7] and [8].

In this article, we initiate sign dominating function of an arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ as follows: A function $f: V\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ such that $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)$. The sign domination number $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\min \{w(f): f$ is sign dominating function\}.

## 2. Existing Results

Theorem 2.1. [11] If $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{l}^{a_{l}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l}$ are distinct primes and integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\} \geq 1$, then

$$
\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)= \begin{cases}l-1 & \text { if } a_{i}=1 \text { for more than one } i \\ l & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $l$ is number of distinct prime divisors of $k$.
Theorem 2.2. [9] If $k$ is a product of two distinct primes or $p^{a_{1}}$ with integer $a_{1}>1$, then $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$.

Theorem 2.3. [9] If $k$ is a neither product of two distinct primes nor $p^{a_{1}}$ and $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are two distinct primes and an integer $a_{1}>1$, then $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$.
Theorem 2.4. [9] If $k$ is a neither product of two distinct primes nor $p^{a_{1}}$ nor $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}$ and $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are two distinct primes and integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}>1$, then $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$.
Theorem 2.5. [9] If $k$ is a neither prime nor $p_{1} p_{2}$ nor $p^{a_{1}}$ nor $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ and $k=$ $p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{l}^{a_{l}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l}$ are distinct primes and integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\} \geq$ 1, then the efficient domination number does not exist for arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$.
Theorem 2.6. [5] For any graph $G, \gamma_{s}(G)=n$ if and only if every non isolated vertex is either an end vertex or adjacent to an end vertex.

## 3. Main results

Proposition 3.1. For any positive integer $k$,

$$
\left|V\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)\right|=\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right) \ldots\left(a_{l}+1\right)-1
$$

Theorem 3.2. For any positive integer $k$, arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ is connected.
Proof. If $k$ is a prime number, then the arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ consist of a single vertex. Thus, it is connected. If $k$ is a product of primes, then by the definition of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$, there exist edges between primes, their prime power and also to their prime product vertices. Hence, arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ is connected.

Theorem 3.3. If $k=p^{a_{1}}$, where $p$ is a prime number and integer $a_{1} \geq 1$, then
(i) $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}-1$.
(ii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}$.
(iii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+a_{1}-1=\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+a_{1}-1$.

Proof. If $a_{1}=1$, then the arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has only one vertex for which number of edges is zero and $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$.

Let $k=p^{a_{1}}$ with $a_{1}>1$. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has $a_{1}$ vertices namely $p, p^{2}, \ldots$ and $p^{a_{1}}$. For $i=2$ to $a_{1}, \operatorname{GCD}\left(p, p^{i}\right)=p$, the vertex $p$ is adjacent to all other vertices of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. As GCD $\left(p^{2}, p^{3}\right)=p^{2}, \operatorname{GCD}\left(p^{3}, p^{4}\right)=$ $p^{3}, \ldots, \operatorname{GCD}\left(p^{a_{1}-1}, p^{a_{1}}\right)=p^{a_{1}}$, vertices $p^{2}, p^{3} \ldots, p^{a_{1}}$ are not adjacent to each other. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G) \cong K_{1, a_{1}-1}$, for which $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}-1$ and $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}$. From Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, $\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$ and $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$. Hence (iii) follows.

Theorem 3.4. For any positive integer $k$ and $a_{1} \geq 1, \gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}$ if and only if $k=p^{a_{1}}$.

Proof. If $k=p^{a_{1}}$, then from (ii) of Theorem 3.3, $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}$. Conversely, if $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=a_{1}$, then from Theorem 2.6, every vertex of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ should either be an end vertex or support vertex. Thus $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G) \cong K_{1, a_{1}-1}$, when $k=p^{a_{1}}$.

Theorem 3.5. If $k$ is a product of two distinct prime numbers, then
(i) $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$.
(ii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.
(iii) $\left.\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+2=\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+2$.

Proof. Let $k=p_{1} p_{2}$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are two distinct primes. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has three vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{1} p_{2}$. Since GCD $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=1$, vertices $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are not adjacent to each other in $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. As GCD $\left(p_{1}, p_{1} p_{2}\right)=p_{1}$ and GCD $\left(p_{2}, p_{1} p_{2}\right)=p_{2}$, vertex $p_{1} p_{2}$ is adjacent to vertices $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G) \cong K_{1,2}$ for which $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$ and $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$. From Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, $\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$ and $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=1$. Hence (iii) follows.

Theorem 3.6. If $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are distinct primes and $a_{1}$ is a positive integer $>1$, then
(i) $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=4 a_{1}-2$.
(ii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.
(iii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+1=\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+1$.

Proof. Let $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are distinct primes. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has $\left(2 a_{1}+1\right)$-vertices namely $p_{1}, p_{1}^{2}, p_{1}^{3}, \ldots, p_{1}^{a_{1}}, p_{2}, p_{1} p_{2}, p_{1}^{2} p_{2}, \ldots$ and $p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}$. As $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=1, \operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{i}\right)=p_{1}\left\{\right.$ for $i=2$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{i} p_{2}\right)=$ $p_{1}\left\{\right.$ for $i=1$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$, vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to $\left(2 a_{1}-2\right)$-vertices of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. Also GCD $\left(p_{2}, p_{1}^{i} p_{2}\right)=p_{2}\left\{\right.$ for $i=1$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$ and GCD $\left(p_{2}, p_{1}^{j}\right)=1\{$ for $j=2$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$, implies vertex $p_{2}$ is adjacent to $a_{1}$ vertices of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. Vertex $p_{1} p_{2}$ is adjacent to $\left(a_{1}+1\right)$-vertices as $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1} p_{2}, p_{1}^{i}\right)=p_{1}\{$ for
$i=1$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$. Except for $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{1} p_{2}$ all other vertices are of degree 2 in $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. Let vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{1} p_{2}$ belongs to $V_{1}$. Vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to $\left(2 a_{1}-1\right)$ vertices, out of which $p_{1} p_{2}$ is already assigned. Out of remaining ( $2 a_{1}-2$ )vertices, $\left(a_{1}-1\right)$-vertices are assigned 1 and $\left(a_{1}-1\right)$-vertices are assigned -1 such that $f\left(N\left[p_{2}\right]\right) \geq 1$ and $f\left(N\left[p_{1} p_{2}\right]\right) \geq 1$. Hence $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=4 a_{1}-2$ and $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$. From Theorem 2.1 and 2.3, $\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$ and $\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$. Thus, (iii) follows.

Theorem 3.7. If $k=p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are distinct primes, then
(i) $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=12$.
(ii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.
(iii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+1$.

Proof. Let $k=p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ be the product of three distinct prime numbers. The arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has seven vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{1} p_{2}, p_{1} p_{3}, p_{2} p_{3}$ and $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$. As GCD $\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$ and $i, j=1$ to 3$\}$, vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are not adjacent to each other. $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{i} p_{j}, p_{j} p_{q}\right)=p_{j}\{$ for $i \neq j \neq q$ and $i, j, q=1$ to 3$\}$, vertices $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}$ and $p_{1} p_{3}$ are adjacent to each other. For $i \neq j$, GCD $\left(p_{i} p_{j}, p_{i}\right)=p_{i}$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{i} p_{j}, p_{j}\right)=p_{j}$ implies vertex $p_{i} p_{j}$ is adjacent to both $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$. GCD $\left(p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}, p_{i}\right)=p_{i}\{$ for $i=1$ to 3$\}$, vertex $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ is adjacent to vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$. Out of all vertices of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$, vertices $p_{1}$, $p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ are of degree three, whereas vertices $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}$ and $p_{1} p_{3}$ are of degree four. Among $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ one vertex, say $p_{1}$ is assigned -1 and remaining two vertices are assigned 1 . Out of $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{2} p_{3}$ and $p_{1} p_{3}$ vertices one vertex, say $p_{2} p_{3}$ is assigned -1 such that if $p_{i}$ is assigned -1 then $p_{j} p_{q}$ is assigned -1 for $i \neq j \neq q$. Here $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ cannot be assigned -1 as $f\left(N\left[p_{i}\right]\right)<1$ for any $i=1$ to 3 . Among seven vertices, two vertices are assigned -1 and five vertices are assigned 1. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$. From Theorem 2.1, (iii) follows.

Proposition 3.8. If $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are distinct primes and integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}>1$, then
(i) $m\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=4 a_{1} a_{2}-2$.
(ii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)= \begin{cases}4 & \text { if } n\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \\ 3 & \text { is even }, \\ 3\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) & \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$
(iii) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)= \begin{cases}\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+2 & \text { if } n\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \text { is even, } \\ \gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+1 & \text { if } n\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$
(iv) $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)= \begin{cases}\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+2 & \text { if } n\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \text { is even, } \\ \gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)+1 & \text { if } n\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right) \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$

Proof. Let $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are distinct prime numbers. The graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ has $\left[\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)-1\right]$ number of vertices which are $p_{1}^{i}, p_{2}^{j}, p_{1}^{i} p_{2}, p_{1} p_{2}^{j}$ and $p_{1}^{i} p_{2}^{j}$ \{for $i=1$ to $a_{1}$ and $j=1$ to $\left.a_{2}\right\}$.
(i) Since $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}^{j}\right)=1\left\{\right.$ for $\mathrm{j}=1$ to $\left.a_{2}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{i}\right)=p_{1}\left\{\right.$ for $\mathrm{i}=2$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$, vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to $a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1}-1$ vertices. $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{2}, p_{1}^{i}\right)=1\left\{\right.$ for $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{2}, p_{2}^{j}\right)=p_{2}\left\{\right.$ for $\mathrm{j}=2$ to $\left.a_{2}\right\}$, vertex $p_{2}$ is adjacent to $a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2}-1$.
$\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{1}^{i}, p_{1} p_{2}^{j}\right)=p_{1}$ for $\left\{\mathrm{i}=2\right.$ to $a_{1}$ and $\mathrm{j}=1$ to $\left.a_{2}\right\}$ number of edges added are $\left(a_{1}-1\right) a_{2}$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(p_{2}^{i}, p_{2} p_{1}^{j}\right)=p_{2}\left\{\right.$ for $\mathrm{i}=2$ to $a_{2}$ and $\mathrm{j}=1$ to $\left.a_{1}\right\}$ number of edges added are $\left(a_{2}-1\right) a_{1}$. Also vertices $p_{1} p_{2}, p_{1} p_{2}^{2}, \ldots, p_{1} p_{2}^{a_{2}}, p_{1}^{2} p_{2}, \ldots p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{a_{2}}, \ldots, p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ are not adjacent to each other. Total number of edges of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$ is $4 a_{1} a_{2}-2$.
(ii) Out of all vertices of $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$, vertices $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{1} p_{2}$ are assigned 1 as these vertices are of maximum degree.
Case 1. If $\left[\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)-1\right]$ is even, then $\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)$ is odd, $a_{1}$ is even and $a_{2}$ is also even. Vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to $a_{1} a_{2}+a_{1}-1=$ odd number of vertices out of which $p_{1} p_{2}$ is already assigned. So remaining even number of vertices are assigned 1 and -1 equally. Remaining vertices to be assigned are $p_{2}^{2}, p_{2}^{3}, \ldots p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ which are odd in number, vertices assigned 1 are one more than vertices assigned -1 . Here, $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{-1}\right|+4$. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=4$.
Case 2. If $\left[\left(a_{1}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)-1\right]$ is odd, then we have following cases:
Subcase 2.1. If both $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are odd numbers, then vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to an odd number of vertices. For the assignment of vertices adjacent to $p_{1}$, we follow as done in case 1 . Remaining vertices to be assigned are $p_{2}^{2}, p_{2}^{3}, \ldots p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ which are even in number. Hence, these vertices are assigned 1 and -1 equally. Here, $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{-1}\right|+3$. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.
Subcase 2.2. If $a_{1}$ is an even number and $a_{2}$ is an odd number, then vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to an odd number of vertices. For the assignment of vertices adjacent to $p_{1}$, we follow as done in case 1 . Remaining vertices to be assigned are $p_{2}^{2}, p_{2}^{3}, \ldots p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ which are even in number. Hence, these vertices are assigned 1 and -1 equally. Here, $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{-1}\right|+3$. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.
Subcase 2.3. If $a_{1}$ is an odd number and $a_{2}$ is an even number, then vertex $p_{1}$ is adjacent to an even number of vertices out of which $p_{1} p_{2}$ is assigned 1 . So an odd number of vertices adjacent to $p_{1}$ should be assigned. Number of vertices assigned 1 is one more than the number of vertices assigned -1 . Remaining vertices to be assigned are $p_{2}^{2}, p_{2}^{3}, \ldots p_{2}^{a_{2}}$ which are odd in number. Here the assignment is done such that vertices assigned -1 is one more than the number of vertices assigned 1. Here, $\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{-1}\right|+3$. Hence $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$.

From all the above three cases, $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=3$. From Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 $\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=2$, results (iii) and (iv) follow.

## CONCLUSION

The current trend of graph theory to higher arithmetic is assumed to be the new trend. The work carried out in this paper, further gives the scope for study of various dominating functions of arithmetic graph $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$. Many questions are suggested by this research, among them are the following:

If an integer $k=p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \ldots p_{l}^{a_{l}}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{l}$ are distinct primes and integers $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right\} \geq 1$, then
(i) In general, find the sign domination number of $\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)$.
(ii) When is $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)$ ?
(iii) When is $\gamma_{s}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)=\gamma_{e}\left(\mathcal{A}_{k}(G)\right)$ ?
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